Uncertain Supply Chain Management 10 (2022) 1397-1404

Contents lists available at GrowingScience

Uncertain Supply Chain Management

homepage: www.GrowingScience.com/uscm

Validating the operational flexibility dimensions in the medical service sectors

Main Naser Alolayyan^{a*}, Mohammad Ali Al-Qudah^b, Mohammad Faleh Ahmmad Hunitie^c, Iman Akour^d, Suleiman Alneimat^e, Sulieman Ibraheem Shelash Al-Hawary^f and Muhammad Turki Alshurideh^g

^aDepartment of Health Management and Policy, Faculty of Medicine, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Jordan ^bSharjah Police Academy, Quality and Excellence Department, United Arab Emirates ^cDepartment of Public Administration, School of Business, University of Jordan, Jordan ^dInformation systems Department, University of Sharjah, United Arab Emirates Business administration, King Talal School Of Business Technology, Princess Sumaya University for Technology, Jordan ^fDepartment of Business Administration, School of Business, Al al-Bayt University, P.O.BOX 130040, Mafraq 25113, Jordan ^gDepartment of Marketing, School of Business, The University of Jordan, Amman 11942, Jordan

ABSTRACT

| Article history: Received March 20, 2022 Received in revised format April 20, 2022 Accepted June 25 2022 Available online June 25 2022 Keywords: Health Operations Flexibility Medical Service Sector United Arab Emirate | This paper examines the health operations flexibility dimensions in the United Arab Emirate in the healthcare sector by employing Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) approaches. The study also attempts to determine the numbers for the operational flexibility dimensions which will help the researchers in future find healthcare operational flexibility dimensions valid and reliable. A model consisting of two constructs of operations flexibility structures: external flexibility and internal robustness is examined to measure health operations flexibility elements in service sectors. Respondents are the health leaders (managers, middle manager, top manager and others) who were working in health service sectors in the United Arab Emirate. The underlying constructs of operations flexibility are empirically verified and validated through Reliability Analysis Procedure, Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA), First and Second Confirmatory Factor Analysis, and Construct Validity Procedures, Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was employed to test the model, drawing on a sample of 250. The findings revealed that the model of the UAE health service sector consists of two latent's operations flexibility dimensions namely external flexibility and internal robustness, each dimension consisting of four items. Further research should be considered to validate these findings in the other firms. The two dimensions of health operations flexibility represent a valid instrument to measure the operations flexibility in the services sector in the United Arab Emirate. This research is important for one to understand the main topics of health operations flexibility in the health services sector. |
|---|--|

© 2022 Growing Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Flexibility in operations, organizations can successfully return to their customers' needs and changing status will become a strategic modest advantage for organizations (Slack, 2005; Qin et al., 2015; Halemane & Janszen, 2004). Moreover, the ability must be elastic with the needs of the client (AlTaweel & Al-Hawary, 2021). Thus, customer service can direct organizations to emphasis on customer-centric schemes (Eldahamsheh et al., 2021). Consequently, customers get more value for their money in the long term (Tariq et al., 2022a, 2022b). Identifying the importance of these structures, studies are desired to assess the bond that can provide guidance to managers to reach to discrete decisions. Previous literature discusses flexibility often in the industrial environment. For example, Alolayyan et al. (2013) proposed that a flexible industrial system covers a range of industrialized activities including machining, welding, manufacturing, assembly and others. As a result, it is evident

© 2022 Growing Science Ltd. All rights reserved. doi: 10.5267/j.uscm.2022.6.017

^{*} Corresponding author E-mail address mnalolayyan@just.edu.jo (M. N. Alolayyan)

that flows of raw materials to suppliers and after-sale provisions as key aspects of manufacturing represent a core theme of tractability. However, marketplace rivalry is changing, so as customer demands, need efficiency, high quality, incredibly supple production and service (Song et al., 2018). Flexibility or lithe is vital to encounter various unpredicted changes in customer requirements. Flexibility supports organizations to accomplish swift deviations efficiently and effectively. Flexible solutions in manufacturing typically involve minimizing the number of people, enhancing machine utilization, improving process control, and decreasing both inventory work and final product inventory (Aityassine et al., 2021; Al-Alwan et al., 2022; AlHamad et al., 2022; Bernardes & Hanna, 2009).

The important operations objective is the method flexibility, especially during unanticipated changes in the business environment (Ishfaq, 2012). Political and technological improvements change the overall philosophy of acclimating flexibility in service and manufacturing in the light of economics (Zhang et al., 2002; Harvey et al., 1997). Organizations need more struggle to meet internal and external customer satisfaction, via their good enough strategies and effective plans to create greater flexibility inside the system (Nakhla, 1995; Qin et al., 2015). The flexibility of operations within the organizations related to services depends on their managers for fundamental changes in employees' behavior, conduct, attitudes, structure, operations, work systems and other circumstances required to acquire the keen results of the operational flexibility program. In all aspects, achieving the principles of such a program in firms entails a hard obligation from top management, and is extremely dependent on numerous factors such as raw materials, strategic planning, supply chains, as well as leadership. This research is conducted to realize scopes and features of operational flexibility in the service sector with the intention of improving its operational service performance. Likewise, the results of this research might enrich the operational performance of the health sector through promoting operational flexibility (Iravani et al., 2005).

2. Concept of operations flexibility and flexibility

Alolayyan et al. (2013) suggested factors influencing the operations management i.e. quality of customer service and the authenticity of global competition, quick expansion of progressive technology, scarcity of operating means, cost dares, constant growth of the services sector and lastly social responsibilities. TQM as a crucial pillar of operations management is influenced by these factors. Consequently, both executive management and TQM are significantly related, which means that erroneous applications of TQM result in negative influences on operations management. For Carlsson (1989), dynamic changes can be managed effectively and efficiently using flexibility. Strategies, in this regard, depended on activities such as employee downsizing, eliminating unnecessary activities from work processes and end product inventory, boosting process controls, and increasing machine utilization. In fact, flexibility leads to positive effects of production processes, however, employee downsizing gives rise to societal problems such as unemployment.

Manufacturing strategy is a function of at least two central methods of flexibility: responsiveness in turbulent environments and influence on performance standards of organizations (Correa & Gianesia, 1994). The authors found the flexibility to adapt and adopt the process due to changes in customer needs and the manufacturing process, or possibly due to changes in resource supply. Flexibility thus encompasses all operations, particularly once such fluctuations affect customers. Therefore, organizations should respond to any deviations that affect client satisfaction. The goal of flexibility and the ability to deal with impacts efficiently, through methods used to control unexpected changes, decrease the impacts of change which the organization should handle, developing practical flexibility to cope with the effects of unintentional fluctuations when they happen. Additionally, Correa and Gianesia (1994) advocated numerous features for the control filter, including monitoring, prediction, standardizing, retention, seizure, tabulation, promotion and replacement through delegation, subcontracting, focus, coordination, and integration. If unforeseen changes enter the control filter for whatever reason, the operation flexibility must be addressed through the forms of service procedures.

Seven sorts of service operation flexibility were suggested by Correa and Gianesia (1994)

- 1) Flexible design: Ability to provide new service.
- 2) Flexibility package: the ability to provide variety services within a period.
- 3) Delivery location services: The ability to provide service in a variety of places.
- 4) Flexible delivery time: Ability to expect delivery of services to the condition.
- 5) Volume flexibility: the ability to change the levels of services output.
- 6) Flexible durability, capability survives successfully in spite of ups and downs affecting inputs and processes.
- 7) Flexible recovery of customers: the capacity to retain customers when the things went incorrect. The outcome of unintended changes verves in the way of clouds, disturbing the organization only, and affects the entire market.

Harvey et al. (1997) suggested three key conditions of services and service delivery processes, which are:

- 1) In general, a service includes at least one type of customer contact.
- 2) Increased demand of customers' requires assembling services to yield specific outcomes and delivery in harmony with its cycle of activity.
- 3) Services are usually used up when created.

The authors find the source of variation, either inside and externally. External market differences are caused by competition, but internal variation is caused by features such as organizational structure, managerial processes, and product and process design. It was acknowledged that the essential quality that allows businesses to cope with environmental oscillations is organizational flexibility, because it makes the organization more sensitive to change. This sensitive response allows the business to have a flexible strategic organizational capability and hence retain acceptable performance without incurring excessive reorganization expenses (Verdu & Gomez-Gras, 2009).

There are four types of management flexibility adopted from Verdu and Gómez-Gras (2009), which are strategic flexibility, structural flexibility, operational flexibility as well as internal and external flexibility.

Strategic flexibility refers to a firm's ability to cope with economic crises and competitive environments; hence, strategic flexibility helps the firm develop novel positions of learning generation, innovation, adaptation, and durability enhancement. On the other hand, structural flexibility is more focused on individuals, often informal, as well as decentralized, so that it emphases on personnel management, especially managerial practices that can unswervingly influence structural flexibility such as fellowship, rewards, contribution, job design and training, employees have a major influence on performance particularly those who have parity and be able to do various functions in enterprises. Flexible work methods, such as time management, improve firms' performance. Operational flexibility represents a firm's ability to deal with unanticipated fluctuations based on the organizational structures or existing goals, as well as changes in the environment. It does not imply any change in the firm's interaction with the environment. Operating flexibility affects both cost and speed of the operational response itself and is often reversible for short-term changes such as hourly and daily operations. **Internal and external flexibility** relates to the effect of the environment and its susceptibility. Changes of the regulatory environment can also be achieved by strategies such as communication, innovation, and advertising. Internal and external flexibility is linked to abusive or defensive behavior and, respectively, to regulation.

3. Conceptual model

Fig. 1 summarizes the conceptual research model. The operations flexibility constructs are illustrated in this conceptual model. The model begins with operations flexibility, which consists of internal robustness and outward flexibility. Each of these two dimensions has five indicators.



3. Methodology

3.1 Instrument

A quantitative research method was conducted to identify the dimensions of the independent variable (health operations flexibility) in medical services organisations. The study's questionnaire was established to collect data from respondents

(managers, middle manager, top managers and the others). The survey instrument is composed of questionnaires relating to operations flexibility. The operations definitions were adopted from Idris et al. (2010). The questionnaire encompasses ten items measured using a 7-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (least important) to 7 (very important).

3.2 Sampling and data collection procedure

A random sampling was followed to determine the members of the research sample. The total respondents were 250 employees (managers, middle manager, top manager and others) from different medical services sectors.

3.3 Reliability

The degree to which outcomes are dependable throughout time is characterized as reliability, and it serves as the finest picture of the overall population in investigation (Joppe, 2000). A study tool can be classified as a trustworthy tool if the results of a study can be replicated using the same technique as well as the instrument consistency over time (Kirk & Miller, 1986; Golafshani, 2003). As a result, the constructs of the research instrument have the following reliability scores:

Table 1 Pagulta of operations flavibility instrument reliability

| Results of operations nexionity instrument renability | | | | | | |
|---|-------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Factors | Items | Cronbach's alpha | | | | |
| External Flexibility | 5 | .717 | | | | |
| Internal Robustness | 5 | .871 | | | | |
| Operations Flexibility | 10 | .782 | | | | |

Table 1 shows that the dependability scores of operations flexibility are quite significant. All values of Cronbach's alpha were higher than 0.7 (Nunnally & Bernstein, 1994). The exterior flexibility constructs (.717) and the internal robustness construct (.717).

4. Data analysis and results

4.1 The Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)

EFA analysis utilizing the principle component extraction method with Promax rotation to figure out what factors/dimensions contributed to operating flexibility. To assess the number of factors to keep for operation flexibility, Kaiser's criterion (eigenvalues > 1) in conjunction factor loadings and screen plot (Pallant, 2007) were extracted. EFA results in Table 2 revealed that external flexibility assessed by five items and internal robustness evaluated using four items represent two key components of operational flexibility. Table 2, 3, and 4 depict the findings of the EFA.

Table 2

Results of EFA

| | Component | | | | |
|---|-----------|-------|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | | | |
| FPF3 | 0.779 | | | | |
| FPF4 | 0.829 | | | | |
| FPF1 | 0.895 | | | | |
| FPF2 | 0.734 | | | | |
| FPSR5 | | | | | |
| FPF5 | | | | | |
| FPSR4 | | 0.826 | | | |
| FPSR3 | | 0.759 | | | |
| FPSR1 | | 0.764 | | | |
| FPSR2 | | 0.692 | | | |
| Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis | | | | | |

Rotation Method: Promax with Kaiser Normalization Rotation converged in 3 iterations

Table 3

| KMO and Bartlett's Test | | |
|---|--------------------|---------|
| Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy | | .766 |
| | Approx. Chi-Square | 829.999 |
| Bartlett's Test of Sphericity | Df | 45.000 |
| × • | Sig. | .000 |

| Component | Total | % of Variance | Cumulative % | Total |
|-----------|-------|---------------|--------------|-------|
| 1 | 3.221 | 32.212 | 32.212 | 3.308 |
| 2 | 2.073 | 20.727 | 52.939 | 2.217 |
| 3 | 1.191 | 11.907 | 64.846 | |
| 4 | .836 | 8.359 | 73.205 | |
| 5 | .660 | 6.602 | 79.807 | |
| 6 | .521 | 5.209 | 85.016 | |
| 7 | .491 | 4.913 | 89.929 | |
| 8 | .411 | 4.114 | 94.043 | |
| 9 | .328 | 3.282 | 97.326 | |
| 10 | .267 | 2.674 | 100.000 | |

Table 4Total Variance Explained

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis

4.2 Research structural model

The results of model fit statistics are summarized in Table 5. The goodness of fit information in Table 5 approves the model's suitability, as the derived statistics are within the recommended ranges for a decent model-data fit. Chi-square (Cmin/df) is less than 3, which is acceptable (Bollen, 1989). RMSEA is less than .08, and CFI & TLI is higher than 0.9 (Byrne, 2010).

| Table 5 | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|----|------|----------|-------|------|------|
| Results of model fit statistics | | | | | | | |
| Model | X^2 | df | Р | Cmin/ df | RMSEA | CFI | TLI |
| Fit Statistics | 39.507 | 19 | .004 | 3.0793 | .065 | .983 | .966 |

All of the model's factor loadings are practically feasible and statistically significant, implying loading coefficients varying from.72 to.87, well above the recommended threshold of 0.6 and with no objectionable estimates (Byrne, 2010). The Critical Ratio (CR) values from each of the inter-variable connections show that the links between the three components (operation flexibility and cost; operation flexibility and customer focus; and customer focus and cost) are significant (Byrne, 2010). at an alpha level of 0.5. larger than 1.96 (absolute value) (Kline, 2011).



Fig. 2. Research structural model

5. Discussion

Based on literature, Health Service Operation Flexibility HSOF involves two elements: External Flexibility (EF) and Internal Robustness (IE). The results confirm our prospects. It is found that the elasticity of processes could be allocated into external flexibility and internal heftiness from the data (Idris et al., 2010; Harvey et al., 1997). External flexibility and internal durability are replicated by four measures each (eight in total), and managers should consider such factors to complete process flexibility.

We have suggested in the literature that operations flexibility is made up of two components: outward flexibility and internal robustness. It is typical to drop some items based on modifications index when confirming the indications that have an impact on the instrument. The original number of items for the flexibility of health-care operations, which encompassed both internal and external flexibility, was ten. After cutting the hypothesized model because of CFA, eight components remained that were of practical significance and clearly characterized and clarified flexibility of service operations. Two entries were removed from the list. Although the dependability index was initially appropriate for both FPSR 3 (internal robustness) and FPF5 (external flexibility), it had to be eliminated to retain the model's acceptable goodness of fit. We expect respondents to be perplexed by this item because it is negatively worded. As a result, the remaining items might best explain the re-specified model's health service operating flexibility. The findings support our hypothesis revealing that health-care operations flexibility may be separated into two categories (outward flexibility and internal robustness) as suggested by previous works (e.g., Harvey et al. 1997; Idris et al. 2010; Verdu-Jovre et al. 2004;).

In order to gain flexibility within health operations, supervisors should prioritize variables. The item "We have just been able to offer new, unique, and creative health services to outpatients" for example, illustrates the necessity for health service sectors to mature new services with added-value to patients. Private hospitals could launch a new health service with cutting-edge technology. "We were able to include several characteristics of health care into alternative packages that were desired by patients", says item 2. The competition for health tourism in the Gulf Cooperation Council region has increased. Several private hospitals in the UAE and other GCC nations have begun offering a package of "health tourism", in which customers are provided discounted pricing for bundled health treatments.

Based on the findings, we have developed a validated instrument for the health-care industry to utilize as a self-assessment tool to determine their operational flexibility. Companies should be better equipped to service their clients if they have these capabilities, as mentioned in the literature. Flexible medical centers will be better able to deal with fluctuating market demand in this way (da Silveira, 2006). One of the study's weaknesses is that some respondents were chosen in a non-random way because the sampling frame for the respected health service sectors was unavailable. We recognize this gap but also there is a need to indicate that there must be some real-world solutions. A key challenge of carrying out survey-based research in developing countries is the low degree of respondents' cooperation.

6. Conclusion

Given the multiplicity and impact of process flexibility, health organizations must form a comprehensive and robust relationship between the flexibility of internal processes and the flexibility of external processes. This strong association will help Health organizations overcome unpredicted changes based on strategies of operational flexibility that will ultimately result in operational efficiency.

References

- Aityassine, F., Aldiabat, B., Al-rjoub, S., Aldaihani, F., Al-Shorman, H., & Al-Hawary, S. (2021). The mediating effect of just in time on the relationship between green supply chain management practices and performance in the manufacturing companies. Uncertain Supply Chain Management, 9(4), 1081-1090.
- Al-Alwan, M., Bader, D., Al-Qatawneh, M., Alneimat, S., & Al-Hawary, S. (2022). E-HRM and employee flexibility in Islamic banks in Jordan. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 6(3), 703-710.
- AlHamad, A., Alshurideh, M., Alomari, K., Kurdi, B., Alzoubi, H., Hamouche, S., & Al-Hawary, S. (2022). The effect of electronic human resources management on organizational health of telecommunications companies in Jordan. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 6(2), 429-438.
- Alolayyan, M.N., Ali, K.A.M., & Idris, F. (2013). Total Quality Management and Operational Flexibility Impact on Hospitals Performance: A Structural Modeling Approach. *International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management*, 11(2), 212–227.
- AlTaweel, I. R., & Al-Hawary, S. I. (2021). The Mediating Role of Innovation Capability on the Relationship between Strategic Agility and Organizational Performance. *Sustainability*, 13(14), 7564.
- Bernardes, E. S., & Hanna, M. D. (2009). A theoretical review of flexibility, agility and responsiveness in the operations management literature: Toward a conceptual definition of customer responsiveness. *International Journal of Operations* & Production Management, 29(1).

Bollen, K.A. (1989). Structural Equations with Latent Variables. New York, NY: Wiley

- Byrne, B.M. (2010). Structural Equation Modeling with Amos: Basic concepts, Applications, and Programming. 2nd ed., New York, London: Routledge
- Carlsson, B. (1989). Flexibility and theory of the firm. International Journal of Industrial Organization, 7, 179-204.
- Correa, H.L., & Gianesi, I.G.N. (1994). Service operations flexibility. Proceedings of the 1stEuroma Conference. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 385-390.
- Eldahamsheh, M.M., Almomani, H.M., Bani-Khaled, A.K., Al-Quran, A.Z., Al-Hawary, S.I.S., & Mohammad, A.A. (2021). Factors Affecting Digital Marketing Success in Jordan. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 25(S5), 1-12.
- Golafshani, N. (2003). Understanding reliability and validity in qualitative research. The Qualitative Report, 8(4), 597-607.
- Halemane, M., & Janszen, F. (2004). Flexibility in operations and business innovation. Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management, 5(2/3), 23-41.
- Harvey, J., Lefebvre, L.A., & Lefebvre, E. (1997). Flexibility and technology in services: a conceptual model. International Journal of Operation and Production Management, 17, 1, 29-45
- Idris, F., Hassan, M.E.M., & Rahman, N.M.N.A. (2010). The impacts of structural and infrastructural elements to service operations flexibility: the influence of technology. *ICEMT 2010 – 2010 International Conference on Education and Management Technology Proceedings 2010, 2–4 November, Cairo*, Egypt, 27-31.
- Ishfaq, R. (2012). Resilience through flexibility in transportation operations. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, *15*(4), 215-229.
- Iravani, S. M., Van Oyen, M. P., & Sims, K. T. (2005). Structural flexibility: A new perspective on the design of manufacturing and service operations. *Management Science*, 51(2), 151-166.
- Joppe, M. (2000). The Research Process. (accessed on 25 February 2017), available at http://www.ryerson.ca/~mjoppe/rp.htm Kirk, J., & Miller, M. (1986). *Reliability and validity'. Qualitative Research Methods*. London, UK:SAGE University Press.
- Kline, R.B. (2011). Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling. 3rd ed., New York: The Guilford Press.
- Nakhla, M. (1995). Production control in the food processing industry: the need for flexibility in operations scheduling. International journal of operations & production management, 15(8), 73-88.

Nunnally, J.C., & Bernstein, I.H. (1994). Psychometric Theory. 3rd ed., New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.

- Pallant, J. (2007). SPSS Survival Manual: A Step-by-Step Guide to Data Analysis using SPSS for Windows. 3rd ed., England: Open University Press
- Qin, R., Nembhard, D. A., & Barnes II, W. L. (2015). Workforce flexibility in operations management. Surveys in Operations Research and Management Science, 20(1), 19-33.
- da Silveira, G. J. (2006). Effects of simplicity and discipline on operational flexibility: an empirical reexamination of the rigid flexibility model. *Journal of Operations Management*, 24(6), 932-947.
- Slack, N. (1983). Flexibility as a manufacturing objective. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 3(3), 4-13.
- Slack, N. (2005). The changing nature of operations flexibility. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 25(12), 1201-1210.
- Song, J. M., Chen, W., & Lei, L. (2018). Supply chain flexibility and operations optimisation under demand uncertainty: A case in disaster relief. *International Journal of Production Research*, 56(10), 3699-3713.
- Tariq, E., Alshurideh, M., Akour, I., & Al-Hawary, S. (2022a). The effect of digital marketing capabilities on organizational ambidexterity of the information technology sector. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 6(2), 401-408.
- Tariq, E., Alshuridehb, M., Akourc, I., Al-Hawary, S., & Al, B. (2022b). The role of digital marketing, CSR policy and green marketing in brand development. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, 6(2), 1-10.
- Verdu, A.J., & Gomez-Gras, M. (2009). Measuring the organizational responsiveness through managerial flexibility. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*. 22(6), 668-690
- Zhang, Q., Vonderembse, M., & Lim, J. (2002). Manufacturing flexibility: Defining and analysing relationships among competence, capability, and customer satisfaction. *Journal of Operations Management*, 327, 1-19.



© 2022 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).