A study on FRAME model: Evidence from banking industry
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THE FRAMEWORK FOR THE RATIONAL ANALYSIS OF MOBILE EDUCATION (FRAME) MODEL EXPLAINS MOBILE LEARNING FEATURES AS A PROCESS IN TERMS OF MOBILE TECHNOLOGIES, HUMAN LEARNING CAPACITIES, AND SOCIAL INTERACTION. IT DESCRIBES VARIOUS ISSUES OF INFORMATION OVERLOAD, KNOWLEDGE NAVIGATION, AND COOPERATION IN LEARNING. THE FRAMEWORK GIVES INSIGHT FOR DEVELOPMENT OF FUTURE MOBILE DEVICES AS WELL AS LEARNING MATERIALS, AND AN APPROPRIATE DESIGN OF LEARNING AND TEACHING STRATEGIES FOR THE PURPOSE OF MOBILE EDUCATION. THE FRAMEWORK IS IMPLEMENTED AMONG A SAMPLE OF 160 REGULAR EMPLOYEES WHO WORKED FOR BANK MASKAN IN PROVINCE OF ALBORZ, IRAN. TO VALIDATE THE QUESTIONNAIRE, WE USE CONTENT VALIDITY RATIO (CVR) AND VERIFY THE QUESTIONNAIRE USING CRONBACH ALPHAS. USING T-STUDENT TEST, THE STUDY HAS DETERMINED THAT THE FIRM WAS READY TO ADOPT MOBILE LEARNING IN TERMS OF DEVICE, SOCIAL AND LEARNER ASPECTS. IN OUR SURVEY, LEARNER ASPECT WAS NUMBER ONE PRIORITY FOLLOWED BY DEVICE AND SOCIAL ASPECTS.

1. Introduction

During the past few years, there has been a growing concern on mobile learning. Many people believe mobile learning offers bigger access to necessary information, reduce cognitive load, and can increase access to other information (Shneiderman & Plaisant, 2005). Wireless, networked mobile devices may help shape sensitive learning experiences and facilitates to fit with the increasing amount of information in the world (Erstad, 2002; Cushion et al., 2003). The Framework for the Rational Analysis of Mobile Education (FRAME) model explains mobile learning features as a process in terms of mobile technologies, human learning capacities, and social interaction (Koole, 2009; Myers-Scotton, 2001). It describes various issues of information overload, knowledge navigation, and cooperation in learning. The framework gives insight for development of future mobile devices as well as learning materials, and an appropriate design of learning and teaching strategies for the purpose of mobile education. The FRAME model considers different technical characteristics of mobile devices along with social and
personal aspects of learning (Koole, 2009). This model is associated with concepts similar to those as detected in psychological theories. Nevertheless, the FRAME model also describes the role of technology beyond simply the effect of cultural or historic development. In this framework, the mobile device is considered as an active component to learning and social processes. The framework also places more emphasis on constructivism: the word rational refers to the “belief that reason is the primary source of knowledge and that reality is constructed rather than discovered” (Smith & Ragan 1999, 15). Fig. 1 shows details of the FRAME model.

![Fig. 1. The structure of FRAME model](image)

In Fig. 1, the three circles indicate the device (D), learner (L), and social (S) characteristics. The intersections where two circles overlap include attributes, which is associated with both characteristics. Two attributes of the device usability (DL) and social technology (DS) intersections explain the affordances of mobile technology (Norman, 1999). The intersection, which is labelled as interaction learning (LS) includes instructional and learning theories. All three characteristics overlap at the primary intersection (DLS) in the center of the Venn diagram. The primary intersection, a convergence of all three characteristics, introduces an ideal mobile learning position. By evaluating the degree to which all the areas of the FRAME framework are utilized within a mobile learning circumstances, practitioners may apply the model to design more effective mobile learning experiences.

In FRAME model, the device aspect (D) is associated with the physical, technical, and functional characteristics of a mobile device. The physical characteristics incorporates input/ output capabilities to the machine such as power, processor speed, storage capabilities, compatibility, and expandability. These aspects result from the hardware and software design of the devices and have a substantial impact on the physical comfort levels of the users. It is essential to evaluate these characteristics since mobile learning devices give the interface between the mobile learner and the learning task(s) (Koole, 2009).

The learner aspect (L) considers an individual’s cognitive abilities, memory, emotions, prior knowledge, and possible motivations. This aspect explains how learners implement what they already learn and how they encode, store, and transfer information (Koole, 2009). The social aspect considers the processes of social interaction and cooperation. Individuals have to follow the rules of cooperation
to communicate, acquire necessary knowledge, and keep cultural practices. Rules of cooperation are
detained by a learner’s culture or the culture in which an interaction occur and in mobile learning, this
culture could be physical or virtual (Koole, 2009). The device usability intersection includes elements
that are associated with both the device (D) and learner (L) aspects. This section is associated with
characteristics of mobile devices to cognitive tasks combined with the manipulation and storage of
information. These processes, in turn, may influence on the user’s sense of psychological comfort and
satisfaction by influencing cognitive load, the capability to evaluate information, and the capability to
physically move to various physical and virtual locations.

2. The proposed study

The proposed study of this paper implements the FRAME model in Iranian banking industry. There are
three hypotheses as follows,

H1: Alborz Province Housing Bank employees are ready to implement mobile learning in terms of
device aspect.

H2: Alborz Province Housing Bank employees are ready to implement mobile learning in terms of
social aspect.

H3: Alborz Province Housing Bank employees are ready to implement mobile learning in terms of
learner aspect.

The study has been implemented among a sample of regular employees who worked for Bank Maskan
in province of Alborz, Iran. The sample size is calculated as follows,

\[ n = \frac{N \times z_{\alpha/2}^2 \times p \times q}{\varepsilon^2 \times (N - 1) + z_{\alpha/2}^2 \times p \times q} \]

(1)

where \( N \) is the population size, \( p = 1 - q \) represents the yes/no categories, \( z_{\alpha/2} \) is CDF of normal
distribution and finally \( \varepsilon \) is the error term. Since we have \( p = 0.5, z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96 \) and \( N=349 \), the number of
sample size is calculated as \( n=152 \). The questionnaire of this survey consists of 24 questions, where 4
questions are associated with general characteristics of the participants and 20 questions are related to
three FRAME components; namely device (7 questions), social (6 questions) and learners (7 quesitions)
and all questions are designed in Likert scale. To validate the questionnaire, we use content validity
ratio (CVR) and verify the questionnaire using Cronbach alphas. For CVR test, we have asked 15
experts to judge about the questionnaire and for Cronbach alpha, we have distributed 30 questionnaires
among some people who took part in our survey and measured Cronbach alpha. Table 1 demonstrates
the results of CVR and Cronbach numbers for the questionnaire.

Table 1
The summary of CVR and Cronbach alpha

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>CVR</th>
<th>Cronbach alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Device</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Social</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Learner</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As we can observe from the results of Table 1, all components are within desirable levels and we can
confirm the overall questionnaire. In our survey, 71.1% of the participants were male and 28.9% of
them were female. Fig. 2 shows other personal characteristics of the participants. As we can observe
from the results of Fig. 2, most people who took part in our survey were middle age with good university
educations. In addition, they have maintained good job experiences since over 75% of them had at least
5 years of job experiences.
The implementation of Kolmogorov-Smirnov has indicated that the date were normally distributed. Therefore, we use t-student to test the hypotheses of the survey.

3. The results

In this section, we present details of our findings on testing three hypotheses of the survey.

3.1. Device effect

The first hypothesis of the survey investigates whether the employees of Bank Maskan in province of Alborz are ready to adopt mobile learning in terms of device effect. Table 2 presents the summary of t-student test on this hypothesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>t-student</th>
<th>Degree of freedom</th>
<th>Sig</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>16.739</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.82425</td>
<td>0.9215</td>
<td>0.727</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the results of Table 2, t-student value is significant when the level of significance is one percent. Therefore, the first hypothesis of the survey has been confirmed and we can confirm that the firm was ready to adopt mobile device learning.

3.2. Social effect

The second hypothesis of the survey tries to find out whether the employees of Bank Maskan in province of Alborz are ready to adopt mobile learning in terms of social effect. Table 3 shows the summary of t-student test on this hypothesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>t-student</th>
<th>Degree of freedom</th>
<th>Sig</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>16.769</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.89803</td>
<td>1.0037</td>
<td>0.7924</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
According to the results of Table 3, t-student value is significant when the level of significance is one percent. Therefore, the second hypothesis of the survey has been confirmed and we can confirm that the organization was ready to adopt mobile device learning, socially.

3.3. Learner effect

The third hypothesis of the survey tries to understand whether the employees of Bank Maskan in province of Alborz are ready to adopt mobile learning in terms of learner effect. Table 4 presents the summary of t-student test on this hypothesis.

Table 4
The summary of t-student test on examining the third hypothesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>t-student</th>
<th>Degree of freedom</th>
<th>Sig</th>
<th>Mean difference</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>16.481</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.82237</td>
<td>0.9210</td>
<td>0.7238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the results of Table 4, t-student value is significant when the level of significance is one percent. Therefore, the third hypothesis of the survey has been confirmed and we can confirm that the organization was ready to adopt mobile device learning in terms of learner effect.

In summary, all three hypotheses of the survey have been confirmed and we can conclude that the case study of this survey was ready to adopt mobile device learning. Now, we are concerned to find the relative importance of each of three aspects using Freedman test. Table 5 demonstrates the results of our survey.

Table 5
The summary of Freedman test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>Mean rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Learner</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Device</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Social</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the results of Table 5, learner aspect is number one priority in our survey followed by device and social aspects.

4. Conclusion

The FRAME model may help practitioners and researchers leverage various benefits and to comprehend the complex nature of mobile learning, effectively. This paper has presented an empirical investigation to investigate whether mobile learning could be used in banking industry. The study has been accomplished in one of Iranian banks named Bank Maskan. Using t-student test, the study has determined that the firm was ready to adopt mobile learning in terms of device, social and learner aspects. In our survey, learner aspect is number one priority followed by device and social aspects.
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