Contents lists available at GrowingScience

International Journal of Data and Network Science

homepage: www.GrowingScience.com/ijds

Hybrid SSA-TBATS to improve forecasting accuracy on export value data in Indonesia

Setiawan Setiawan^{a*}, Muhammad Fajar^{a,b}, Hasbi Yasin^{a,c}, and Chrisandi R. Lande^{a,d}

^aDepartment of Statistics, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya 60111, Indonesia ^bBPS-Statistics Indonesia, Jakarta 10710, Indonesia ^cDepartment of Statistics, Diponegoro University, Semarang 50275, Indonesia ^dPoliteknik Ilmu Pelayaran Makassar, Makassar 90165, Indonesia **CHRONICLE ABSTRACT**

Article history: Received: July 2, 2023 Received in revised format: July 26, 2023 Accepted: August 14, 2023 Available online: August 14, 2023 Keywords: Forecasting Singular Spectrum Analysis TBATS Time Series Evenot	This research aims to present the Hybrid SSA-TBATS approach as an alternate forecasting tech- nique that does not need specific assumptions or requirements such as stationarity, linear or non- linear process, and normality. This analysis used Indonesian exports (in millions of USD) from January 1993 to July 2022. The findings of this research reveal that the Hybrid SSA-TBATS method outperforms SSA and TBATS in forecasting accuracy and defines the window length and number of groups. Therefore, it is highly recommended based on MAPE since it does not need any information on the characteristics of the data to be forecasted.
Ехроп	© 2023 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada.

1. Introduction

According to use, exports are one of the components of the Gross Domestic Product and a source of foreign exchange for the country. Export occurs due to an excess of specific domestic products and international demand for those same commodities for which the destination nations need more supplies for their economic activities. In international trade relations, each country has a unique competitive advantage. Each location has different and diverse natural resources and industrial benefits.

Exports boost national revenue since they are a component of total spending. Export benefits national economic development by taking advantage of economies of scale and increasing efficiency through increased competition (Balassa, 1978; Helpman & Krugman, 1985; Kavoussi, 1984; Krueger, 1980; Srinivasan & Bhagwati, 1979). In addition, Jung & Marshall (Jung & Marshall, 1985) proposed four theories on the link between exports and economic growth. First, export stimulates economic growth. The second is that export decreases a nation's economic growth. Third, economic growth increases a nation's exports (internally generated exports). Meanwhile, the final hypothesis is that a country's economic growth decreases export.

The preceding explanation indicates the significance of export to the national economy; hence, export growth must be routinely monitored. Furthermore, strengthening domestic industry is required to sustain export growth considering many other short-, medium-, and long-term macroeconomic objectives; thus, future export data is necessary to make macroeconomic policies and programs. Scientific forecasting is one method via which this information can be obtained.

Forecasting with time series is a numerical approach for evaluating and analyzing data regularly utilizing the relevant procedures. The findings could be used as a benchmark for forecasting the next period's value. Singular spectrum analysis

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: setiawan@statistika.its.ac.id (S. Setiawan)

doi: 10.5267/j.ijdns.2023.8.012

_____(

ISSN 2561-8156 (Online) - ISSN 2561-8148 (Print) © 2023 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada.

(SSA) is one method for forecasting time series. SSA is a nonparametric method that decomposes a time series into numerous components that are then regrouped following the notion of analysis of the significant features (Golyandina et al., 2005; Golyandina & Korobeynikov, 2014; Golyandina & Zhigljavsky, 2013). The advantages of this technique do not require data stationarity requirements or particular time series assumptions. SSA has been implemented to forecasting the production index (Hassani et al., 2009), the currency exchange rate (Ghodsi & Yarmohammadi, 2014; Hassani et al., 2010), Gross domestic product (Hassani & Zhigljavsky, 2009), stocks (Menezes et al., 2012; Thomakos et al., 2002), energy consumption (Kumar & Jain, 2010), hydrological data (Marques et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2011), commodity price (Wang & Li, 2018), and Sleep EEG (Aydln et al., 2011).

With the rapid development of computing technology, forecasting methods have experienced significant results, and SSA is no exception. The SSA method is hybridized by other methods or models, such as Hybrid SSA-ARIMA (Zhang et al., 2011) and SSA-TSR-ARIMA (Suhartono et al., 2018), and hybridized SSA with the neural network such as SSA-ELM (Fajar, 2019), SSA-NN-LSSVM (Zhang et al., 2021), SSA-NN (Wang & Li, 2018), SSA-ARIMA-ANN (Unnikrishnan & Jothiprakash, 2020), SSA-TLSNN (Sulandari et al., 2020), and SSA-SVM (Wen et al., 2014) are two methods for improving predicting accuracy. On the other hand, the TBATS method developed by de Livera et al. (2011) can be used in time series generated from linear and nonlinear processes so that it does not require specific prerequisites or assumptions. Therefore, this study proposes a Hybrid SSA-TBATS forecasting method expected to accommodate export dynamics to improve forecasting accuracy.

According to use, exports are one of the components of the Gross Domestic Product and a source of foreign exchange for the country. Export occurs due to an excess of specific domestic products and international demand for those same commodities for which the destination nations need more supplies for their economic activities. In international trade relations, each country has a unique competitive advantage. Each location has different and diverse natural resources and industrial benefits.

Exports boost national revenue since they are a component of total spending. Export benefits national economic development by taking advantage of economies of scale and increasing efficiency through increased competition (Balassa, 1978; Helpman & Krugman, 1985; Kavoussi, 1984; Krueger, 1980; Srinivasan & Bhagwati, 1979). In addition, Jung & Marshall (Jung & Marshall, 1985) proposed four theories on the link between exports and economic growth. First, export stimulates economic growth. The second is that export decreases a nation's economic growth. Third, economic growth increases a nation's exports (internally generated exports). Meanwhile, the final hypothesis is that a country's economic growth decreases export.

The preceding explanation indicates the significance of export to the national economy; hence, export growth must be routinely monitored. Furthermore, strengthening domestic industry is required to sustain export growth considering many other short-, medium-, and long-term macroeconomic objectives; thus, future export data is necessary to make macroeconomic policies and programs. Scientific forecasting is one method via which this information can be obtained.

Forecasting with time series is a numerical approach for evaluating and analyzing data regularly utilizing the relevant procedures. The findings could be used as a benchmark for forecasting the next period's value. Singular spectrum analysis (SSA) is one method for forecasting time series. SSA is a nonparametric method that decomposes a time series into numerous components that are then regrouped following the notion of analysis of the significant features (Golyandina et al., 2005; Golyandina & Korobeynikov, 2014; Golyandina & Zhigljavsky, 2013). The advantages of this technique do not require data stationarity requirements or particular time series assumptions. SSA has been implemented to forecasting the production index (Hassani et al., 2009), the currency exchange rate (Ghodsi & Yarmohammadi, 2014; Hassani et al., 2010), Gross domestic product (Hassani & Zhigljavsky, 2009), stocks (Menezes et al., 2012; Thomakos et al., 2002), energy consumption (Kumar & Jain, 2010), hydrological data (Marques et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2011), commodity price (Wang & Li, 2018), and Sleep EEG (Aydln et al., 2011).

With the rapid development of computing technology, forecasting methods have experienced significant results, and SSA is no exception. The SSA method is hybridized by other methods or models, such as Hybrid SSA-ARIMA (Zhang et al., 2011) and SSA-TSR-ARIMA (Suhartono et al., 2018), and hybridized SSA with the neural network such as SSA-ELM (Fajar, 2019), SSA-NN-LSSVM (Zhang et al., 2021), SSA-NN (Wang & Li, 2018), SSA-ARIMA-ANN (Unnikrishnan & Jothiprakash, 2020), SSA-TLSNN (Sulandari et al., 2020), and SSA-SVM (Wen et al., 2014) are two methods for improving predicting accuracy. On the other hand, the TBATS method developed by de Livera et al. (2011) can be used in time series generated from linear and nonlinear processes so that it does not require specific prerequisites or assumptions. Therefore, this study proposes a Hybrid SSA-TBATS forecasting method expected to accommodate export dynamics to improve forecasting accuracy.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Singular Spectrum Analysis (SSA)

A nonparametric time series technique called singular spectrum analysis breaks down a time series into its trend, periodic, quasi-periodic, and noise components. There are four stages to the SSA approach.

Stage 1. Embedding

Time series $z_1, z_2, ..., z_T$, select a \mathcal{L} stands for window length, and \mathcal{L} parameter is determined in interval $[2, \mathcal{T}/2]$ and set $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{T} - \mathcal{L} + 1$. **Z** has been defined:

$$\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_T) = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & \cdots & z_{\mathcal{K}} \\ z_2 & z_3 & \cdots & z_{\mathcal{K}+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{\mathcal{L}} & z_{\mathcal{L}+1} & \cdots & z_T \end{pmatrix},$$

Since Z is a Hankel matrix, every element on the major antidiagonal is equal. Consequently, Z can be thought of as multivariate data, the covariance matrix is S = ZZ' based on Z.

Stage 2. Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

 \boldsymbol{S} has an eigenvalue $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_L, \gamma_1 \ge \gamma_2 \ge \dots \ge \gamma_L)$ and eigenvector $(\mathcal{U}_1, \dots, \mathcal{U}_L)$. SVD of \boldsymbol{Z} was obtained as follows.

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i. \tag{1}$$

where $E_i = \sqrt{\gamma_i} \mathcal{U}_i \mathcal{V}'_i$, i = 1.2..., h, is known as the principal component and the number of eigenvalues γ_i , and $\mathcal{V}_i = \mathbf{Z}' \mathcal{U}_i / \sqrt{\gamma_i}$.

Stage 3. Grouping

The grouping step divides stage Z into subgroups depending on time series creating patterns. Index set divides $\{1.2, ..., h\}$ into groups I_1 . I_2, I_n , and Z_1 corresponds to group $\mathcal{I} = \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_k\}$ which is defined as:

$$\mathbf{Z}_{I} = M_{i_{1}} + M_{i_{2}} + \dots + M_{i_{k}} \,, \tag{2}$$

So that decomposition is represented:

$$Z = Z_{l_1} + Z_{l_2} + \dots + Z_{l_n}.$$
(3)

where $Z_{\mathcal{I}_j}(j = 1.2....n)$ denotes the reconstruction component. Component contributions $Z_{\mathcal{I}}$, as assessed by the corresponding eigenvalue contribution: $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \gamma_i / \sum_{i=1}^h \gamma_i$. The minimum mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) is utilized in this research to figure out how to calculate the group number. If the frequency magnitudes of numerous primary components are similar, they are blended into a single reconstruction component. Thus, on till $Z_{I_1}, Z_{I_2}, ..., Z_{I_n}$ are produced.

Stage 4. Reconstruction

In the last stage, we performed transformations on $Z_{\mathcal{I}_j}$ into a new series $(\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_T)$ with length \mathcal{T} determined by diagonal averaging. Let's assume \mathcal{Y} is a matrix with $\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{K}$ size, which element $\psi_{\ell k}$. interval ℓ : [1. \mathcal{L}]. k: [1. \mathcal{K}], Then $\dot{\mathcal{L}}$ is minimum of \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{K} , $\dot{\mathcal{K}}$ is maximum of \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{K} . If $\mathcal{L} < \mathcal{K}$, $\psi_{ij}^* = \psi_{ij}$ and if $\mathcal{L} > \mathcal{K}$. $\psi_{ij}^* = \psi_{ji}$. \mathcal{Y} transferred into a series $\psi_1.\psi_2.\dots.\psi_T$ by using the formula (4).

$$\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{k}} = \begin{cases}
\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{k}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\boldsymbol{k}} \boldsymbol{y}_{\ell,\boldsymbol{k}-\ell+1}^{*}; 1 \leq \boldsymbol{k} \leq \boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}^{*} \\
\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}^{*}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}^{*}} \boldsymbol{y}_{\ell,\boldsymbol{k}-\ell+1}^{*}; \boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}^{*} \leq \boldsymbol{k} \leq \boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}^{*} \\
\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}-\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{k}}+1} \sum_{\ell=\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{k}}-\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}^{*}+1}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}-\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}^{*}+1} \boldsymbol{y}_{\ell,\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{k}}-\ell+1}^{*}; \boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}^{*} \leq \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{k}} \leq \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}
\end{cases}$$
(4)

It is essentially averaging the matrix elements along the antidiagonals i + j = k + 1; for example, suppose k = 1 interpret $\psi_1 = \psi_{1,1}$. k = 2 as $\psi_2 = (\psi_{1,2} + \psi_{2,1})/2$. Diagonal averaging on Eq. (4) is performed on all components of the matrix \mathbf{Z}_{j_j} in Eq. (3) produces a series $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}^{(k)} = (\check{z}_1^{(k)}, \check{z}_2^{(k)}, \dots, \check{z}_T^{(k)})$, hence series z_1, z_2, \dots, z_T decomposed into a summation of the reconstruction ℓ series:

1508

$$z_t = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \check{z}_t^{(k)} \cdot t = 1.2.\dots \mathcal{T} ,$$
 (5)

This SSA forecasting uses the SSA recurrent, where the calculation algorithm refers to Golyandina & Zhigljavsky (2013).

2.2. TBATS

de Livera et al. (2011) developed an ESSS with a Cox Box transformation, an ARMA error, trends, and a trigonometric equation with seasonal components dubbed TBATS. The trigonometric equation is based on the Fourier model in this model. The TBATS model includes seasonal ingredients with integers and non-integers that are periodic, double or single, or spring seasonal.

TBATS has the following equation:

$$\check{z}_{t}^{(\omega)} = \begin{cases} \frac{\check{z}_{t}^{(\omega)} - 1}{\omega}, & \omega \neq 0\\ \ln \check{z}_{t} & , & \omega = 0 \end{cases}$$
(6)

$$\check{z}_{t}^{(\omega)} = l_{t-1} + \vartheta \vartheta_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{s}_{t-m_{i}}^{(i)} + \sigma_{t}$$
(7)

$$l_{t} = l_{t-1} + \vartheta \mathscr{E}_{t-1} + a\sigma_{t}$$

$$\mathscr{E}_{t} = (1 - \vartheta)\mathscr{E}^{*} + \vartheta \mathscr{E}_{t-1} + b\sigma_{t}$$
(8)
(9)

$$\sigma_t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \vartheta_i \sigma_{t-i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi_j \epsilon_{t-j} + \epsilon_t \tag{10}$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{t}^{(l)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_{t}} \mathbf{s}_{j,t}^{(l)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_{j,t}^{(l)} &= \mathbf{s}_{j,t-1}^{(l)} \cos \psi_j^{(l)} + \mathbf{s}_{j,t-1}^{*(l)} \cos \psi_j^{(l)} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{(l)} \sigma_t \\ \mathbf{s}_{j,t}^{*(l)} &= -\mathbf{s}_{j,t-1}^{(l)} \cos \psi_j^{(l)} + \mathbf{s}_{j,t-1}^{*(l)} \cos \psi_j^{(l)} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{(l)} \sigma_t \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$\psi_j^{(i)} = \frac{2\pi j}{m_i}$$
, $\pi = 3.14$...,

where $m_1, ..., m_M$ represent the seasonal period, l_t is the local level in period t, ϑ_{t-1} is the short-run trends period $t, \varsigma_t^{(i)}$ represents the *i*-th seasonal component at time t, d_t implies ARMA $(v, w), \epsilon_t$ is an error term, $a, b, \xi_1^{(i)}, \xi_2^{(i)}$ are smoothing parameters. Eq. (6) is a Box-Cox transformation, Eq. (7) represents a seasonal pattern, Eq. (8) and (9) are global and local trends, Eq. (10) is an error modeled with ARMA, and Eq. (11) and (12) are seasonal patterns modeled by the Fourier model. Estimating and forecasting TBATS follows as de Livera et al. (2011).



Fig. 1. Hybrid SSA - TBATS

2.3. Hybrid Singular Spectrum Analysis and TBATS

The following is our proposal for the Hybrid SSA-TBATS forecasting method:

- (1) SSA disassembled the original time series into their principal components.
- (2) The results of Stage 1 principal component are susceptible to being classified as trend, periodic, quasi-periodic, or noise.
- (3) The reconstruction component is divided into many primary components based on frequency proximity.
- (4) TBATS is utilized in all components of the reconstruction.
- (5) The aggregate of the projections for various reconstruction components constitutes the Hybrid SSA-TBATS's ultimate forecasting output. Figure 1 depicts the prior described phases.

2.4 Data Set

The overall export value, which is the sum of the importance of oil and gas exports and the importance of non-oil and gas exports in millions of US dollars from January 1993 to July 2022 (T = 355), was used in the research. These numbers were obtained from the Ministry of Finance's Directorate General of Customs and Excise. The Hybrid SSA-TBATS method's performance is evaluated using 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 12, 24, 36, and 48 observations. The least MAPE of the testing data forecast is used to establish the window length and the number of groups using hybrid SSA-TBATS. The length of the window and the number of groups are calculated by scanning the ranges [(T - p)/k], k = 2,3, ..., 10, and 2, 3, ..., 30, respectively.

Before the forecasting process is executed, the data is examined for plots, histograms, and stationarity checks using the Phillips-Perron Test (Phillips & Perron, 1988), long memory process investigations based on the Qu Test (Qu, 2011), and nonlinearity verifications based on the Tsay Test (Tsay, 1986). These examination results provide additional information for data analysis.

3. Results and Discussion

Throughout the observation period, Indonesia's exports showed a rising trend. However, structural fractures occurred at many periods in time (See Fig.2).(a)), such as in December 2009 as a result of the global financial crisis, and in May 2020 as a result of decreased worldwide travel or economic activity to control the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic. (See Figure 2.b.) The histogram of Indonesian exports reveals two forms of export data. This graph illustrates that the export data follows a mixture of probability distributions due to structural breaks.



Fig. 2. Visualization of Indonesia's Export Periods January 1993 - July 2022

Table 1	
Results of Phillips-Perron Test, Ou Test, and Tsay Test on export data	

Results of Finings Ferrori Fest, Qu Fest, and Fsug Fest on export aut	4	
Statistical Test	Statistic	Decision (using alpha 5%)
Phillips-Perron Test (with intercept and trend) H ₀ : time series is stationary H ₁ : time series is stationary	-2.991483 [0.1361]	H ₀ is not rejected
Qu Test H ₀ : time series is a long memory process H ₁ : time series is a spurious long-memory process	0.1053545 (1.155)	H_0 is not rejected
Tsay Test H ₀ : time series follows AR (1) process H ₁ : time series does not follow AR (1) process	5.45326 [0.02009482]	H ₀ rejected

Note: [...] represents p-value, and (...) represents critical value.

The Indonesian export data across the observation period has a unit root, as shown in Table 1, indicating that the data are unstable. It is caused by structural breaks and trend components with rather large magnitudes. According to the results of the Qu test, export data follows a long memory process. As a result, it tends to retain a pattern over a more extended period. Furthermore, the Tsay Test results show that Indonesia's export data is a nonlinear pattern.

Table 2

MAPE produced by SSA, TBATS, and Hybrid SSA-TBATS according to the length of the ahead period in Indonesia's export forecasting

Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor((T - p)/7) 7 0.033793 TBATS - - 0.013733 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor((T - p)/7) 7 0.013373 Ahead period (p): 3 months - 0.013733 0.000380 Ahead period (p): 3 months - 0.014732 0.074732 TBATS floor((T - p)/9) 7 0.00926 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor((T - p)/9) 7 0.00926 Hybrid SSA <tbats< td=""> floor((T - p)/9) 7 0.019926 Hybrid SSA<tbats< td=""> floor((T - p)/4) 2 0.309999 SSA floor((T - p)/4) 2 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor((T - p)/2) 2.5 0.168274 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor((T - p)/2) 2.5 0.168274 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor((T - p)/2) 2.5 0.068608 Ahead period (p): 7 months - - 0.111956 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor((T - p)/2) 2.5</tbats<></tbats<>	Ahead period (p): 1 month			
SSA floor[$(T - p)/7$] 7 0.03373 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/7$] 7 0.003300 Ahead period (p): 3 months - 0.003373 Method of forceasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/9$] 7 0.074732 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/9$] 7 0.0474732 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/9$] 7 0.0474732 Method of forceasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/4$] 2 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/2$] 2 0.139109 Ahead period (p): 7 months - - 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/2$] 25 0.0468274 TBATS - - 0.11956 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.380655 SSA floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.380655 TBATS - - 0.1168274 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.0480221	Method of forecasting:	window length	number of groups	MAPE (%)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	SSA	$floor[(\mathcal{T}-p)/7]$	7	0.039793
Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/T] 7 0.000380 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/9] 7 0.074732 TBATS floor[($T - p$)/9] 7 0.074732 Aread period (p): 5 moths mumber of groups MAPE (%) Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/4] 2 0.340999 TBATS - 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/4] 2 0.340999 TBATS - - 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/4] 2 0.340999 Ahead period (p): 7 months - - 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/2] 25 0.168274 TBATS - - - 0.11056 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/2] 25 0.0880557 TBATS - - - 0.111683 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/3] 2	TBATS	-	-	0.013373
Athead period (p): 3 months window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)9] 7 0.074732 TBATS - - 0.100926 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)9] 7 0.055008 Athead period (p): 5 months - - 0.100926 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)4] 2 0.340099 TBATS - - 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)4] 2 0.139109 Athead period (p): 7 months - - 0.119767 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)2] 25 0.085608 Abead period (p): 7 months - - 0.111956 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)2] 25 0.085608 Abead period (p): 9 months - - 0.11639 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)3] 20	Hybrid SSA-TBATS	$floor[(\mathcal{T}-p)/7]$	7	0.000380
Method of forecasting: vindow length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)?] 7 0.074732 TBATS - 0.100926 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)?] 7 0.055808 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/4] 2 0.340999 TBATS - 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/4] 2 0.340999 TBATS - 0.149767 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/4] 2 0.139109 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/2] 25 0.085608 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/3] 20 0.380655 TBATS - 0.116839 Hybrid SSA-T	Ahead period (p): 3 months			
SSA floor[$(T - p)^9$] 7 0.074732 TBATS $10or[(T - p)^9]$ 7 0.074732 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)^9]$ 7 0.055808 Ahead period (p): 5 months . . 0.149767 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)^4$] 2 0.340999 TBATS - 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)^4$] 2 0.139109 Ahead period (p): 7 months - 0.119767 0.168274 TBATS - - 0.119767 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)^2$] 25 0.08508 Ahead period (p): 9 months - - 0.11956 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)^3$] 20 0.380655 TBATS floor[$(T - p)^{10}$] 8 0.147367	Method of forecasting:	window length	number of groups	MAPE (%)
TBATS 0.100926 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/9] 7 0.055808 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/4] 2 0.349099 TBATS - 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/4] 2 0.349099 TBATS - 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/4] 2 0.319109 Ahead period (p): 7 months - 0.149767 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/2] 25 0.168274 TBATS - - 0.11956 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/2] 25 0.085608 Ahead period (p): 9 months - - 0.11056 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/3] 20 0.380655 TBATS - - 0.116339 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/10] 8 0.172067	SSA	$floor[(\mathcal{T}-p)/9]$	7	0.074732
Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)^9$] 7 0.055808 Ahead period (p): 5 months . . Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/4$] 2 0.340999 TBATS . . 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/4$] 2 0.139109 Ahead period (p): 7 months . . 0.149767 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/2$] 25 0.168274 TBATS Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/2$] 25 0.085608 Ahead period (p): 9 months Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.380621 Method of forecasting: window length numbe	TBATS	-	-	0.100926
Anead period (p): 5 months window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/4] 2 0.340999 TBATS - - 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/4] 2 0.139109 Ahead period (p): 7 months - - 0.168274 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/2] 25 0.168274 TBATS - - 0.11956 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/2] 25 0.068069 Mhead period (p): 9 months - - 0.11956 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/2] 25 0.086069 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/3] 20 0.380655 5 TBATS - - 0.116839 147367 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/10] 8 0.047367 TBATS - -	Hybrid SSA-TBATS	$floor[(\mathcal{T}-p)/9]$	7	0.055808
Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/4] 2 0.340999 IBATS floor[($T - p$)/4] 2 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/4] 2 0.139109 Abcad period (p): 7 months - - 0.149767 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/2] 25 0.068274 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/2] 25 0.085608 Ahead period (p): 9 months - - 0.111956 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/3] 20 0.380655 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/3] 20 0.080221 Ahead period (p): 12 months - - 0.116839 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/3] 20 0.080221 Ahead period (p): 12 months - - 0.117367 Hybrid SSA-TBATS f	Ahead period (p): 5 months			
SSA floor[$(T - p)/4$] 2 0.340999 TBATS - 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/4$] 2 0.139109 Anead period (p): 7 months Wethod of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/2$] 25 0.168274 TBATS - - 0.111956 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/2$] 25 0.088508 Ahead period (p): 9 months - - 0.111956 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.380655 TBATS floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.380655 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.080221 Ahead period (p): 12 months - - 0.116839 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/10$] 8 0.17167 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.344298 Method o	Method of forecasting:	window length	number of groups	MAPE (%)
TBATS . . 0.149767 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/4$] 2 0.139109 Ahead period (p): 7 months . . . Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/2$] 25 0.168274 TBATS . . 0.111956 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/2$] 25 0.085608 Ahead period (p): 9 months . . . 0.111956 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.380655 TBATS . . . 0.116839 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.080221 Ahead period (p): 12 months . . . 0.147367 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/10$] 8 0.071747 Ahead period (p): 24 months . . . 0.172067 Hybrid SSA-TBATS f	SSA	$floor[(\mathcal{T}-p)/4]$	2	0.340999
Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/4$] 2 0.139109 Ahead period (p): 7 months . . . Wethod of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/2$] 25 0.168274 TBATS - - 0.111956 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/2$] 25 0.088508 Ahead period (p): 9 months . . . 0.111956 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.380655 TBATS - - 0.116839 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.080221 Ahead period (p): 12 months . . . 0.172067 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/10$] 8 0.071747 Ahead period (p): 24 months . . 0.344298 TBATS floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.344298 TBATS . . 0	TBATS		-	0.149767
Ahead period (p): 7 months window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p/2$] 25 0.168274 TBATS - 0.111956 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p/2$] 25 0.085608 Ahead period (p): 9 months - 0.111956 0.111956 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p/3$] 20 0.380655 TBATS - 0.116839 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p/3$] 20 0.080221 Ahead period (p): 12 months - - 0.116839 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p/3$] 20 0.080221 Ahead period (p): 12 months - - 0.172067 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p/10$] 8 0.171367 TBATS - - 0.172067 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p/10$] 8 0.071747 Ahead period (p): 24 months - - 0.316312 Hybrid S	Hybrid SSA-TBATS	$floor[(\mathcal{T}-p)/4]$	2	0.139109
Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)2] 25 0.168274 TBATS - - 0.111956 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)2] 25 0.085608 <i>Method of forecasting:</i> window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)3] 20 0.380655 TBATS - - 0.116839 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)3] 20 0.080221 <i>Method of forecasting:</i> window length number of groups MAPE (%) Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)7] 20 0.080221 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)10] 8 0.071747 Ahead period (p): 24 months - - 0.16312 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)6] 19 0.344298 TBATS - -	<i>Ahead period</i> (<i>p</i>): 7 months			
SSA floor[$(T - p)/2$] 25 0.168274 TBATS - 0.111956 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/2$] 25 0.085508 Ahead period (p): 9 months - 0.111956 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.380655 TBATS - 0.116839 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.080221 Ahead period (p): 12 months - 0.116839 0.116839 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/10$] 8 0.147367 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/10$] 8 0.071747 Ahead period (p): 24 months - - 0.316312 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.34298 SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.219868 TBATS - - 0.316312 Hybrid SSA-TBATS <	Method of forecasting:	window length	number of groups	MAPE (%)
TBATS . . 0.111956 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/2$] 25 0.085608 Ahead period (p): 9 months Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.380655 TBATS - 0.116839 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.080211 Ahead period (p): 12 months . . . 0.116839 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.080221 Ahead period (p): 12 months Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) . SSA floor[$(T - p)/10$] 8 0.017207 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) 	SSA	$floor[(\mathcal{T}-p)/2]$	25	0.168274
Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/2$] 25 0.085608 Ahead period (p): 9 months	TBATS	-	-	0.111956
Ahead period (p): 9 months window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/3] 20 0.380655 TBATS - 0.116839 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/3] 20 0.080221 Ahead period (p): 12 months - 0.116839 0.0080221 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/10] 8 0.147367 TBATS - - 0.172067 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/10] 8 0.071747 Ahead period (p): 24 months - - 0.316312 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/6] 19 0.344298 TBATS - - 0.316312 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/6] 19 0.073157 Adead period (p): 36 months - - 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/8] 15 0.195695	Hybrid SSA-TBATS	$floor[(\mathcal{T}-p)/2]$	25	0.085608
Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/3] 20 0.380655 TBATS - 0.116839 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/3] 20 0.080221 Ahead period (p): 12 months - 0.116839 - Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/10] 8 0.147367 TBATS - 0.172067 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/10] 8 0.071747 Ahead period (p): 24 months - - 0.172067 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/10] 8 0.071747 Ahead period (p): 24 months - - 0.316312 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/6] 19 0.344298 TBATS - - 0.316312 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/8] 15 0.219868 TBATS - - 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS <td< td=""><td>Ahead period (p): 9 months</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Ahead period (p): 9 months			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Method of forecasting:	window length	number of groups	MAPE (%)
TBATS . 0.116839 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.080221 Ahead period (p): 12 months Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/10$] 8 0.147367 TBATS - - 0.172067 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/10$] 8 0.071747 Ahead period (p): 24 months 0.172067 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.344298 TBATS - - 0.316312 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.073157 Ahead period (p): 36 months - 0.316312 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.386902	SSA	$floor[(\mathcal{T}-p)/3]$	20	0.380655
Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/3$] 20 0.080221 Ahead period (p): 12 months . . . Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/10$] 8 0.147367 TBATS - 0.172067 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/10$] 8 0.071747 Ahead period (p): 24 months window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.344298 SSA floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.344298 SSA floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.073157 Ahead period (p): 36 months mumber of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.19868 TBATS - - 0.195695 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.195695	TBATS	-	-	0.116839
Ahead period (p): 12 months Number of groups MAPE (%) SEA floor[($T - p$)/10] 8 0.147367 SSA floor[($T - p$)/10] 8 0.147367 TBATS - - 0.172067 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/10] 8 0.071747 Ahead period (p): 24 months number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/6] 19 0.344298 TBATS - - 0.316312 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/6] 19 0.073157 Ahead period (p): 36 months - - 0.316312 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/8] 15 0.219868 TBATS - - 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/8] 15 0.115008 Ahead period (p): 48 months - - 0.195695 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/8] 15 0.115008 Ahead per	Hybrid SSA-TBATS	$floor[(\mathcal{T}-p)/3]$	20	0.080221
Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/10] 8 0.147367 TBATS - 0.172067 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/10] 8 0.071747 Ahead period (p): 24 months 0.071747 0.172067 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/6] 19 0.344298 TBATS - - 0.316312 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/6] 19 0.073157 Ahead period (p): 36 months - - 0.316312 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[($T - p$)/8] 15 0.219868 TBATS - - 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[($T - p$)/8] 15 0.18008 Ahead period (p): 48 months - - 0.195695 Hybrid SSA floor[($T - p$)/8] 15 0.386902 <	Ahead period (p): 12 months			
SSA floor[$(T - p)'10$] 8 0.147367 TBATS - 0.172067 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/10$] 8 0.071747 Ahead period (p): 24 months - 0.1870 - Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.344298 SSA floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.073157 Ahead period (p): 36 months - 0.316312 - Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.073157 Ahead period (p): 36 months - - 0.316312 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.186902 Ahead period (p): 48 months window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15	Method of forecasting:	window length	number of groups	MAPE (%)
TBATS - 0.172067 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/10$] 8 0.071747 Ahead period (p): 24 months - 0.172067 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.344298 TBATS - - 0.316312 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.073157 Ahead period (p): 36 months - - 0.316312 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.219868 TBATS - - 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.115008 Ahead period (p): 48 months - - 0.162403 Ahead period (p): 48 months - - 0.162403 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 Hybrid SSA-TBATS - - 0.162403 Hybrid SSA-TBATS	SSA	$floor[(\mathcal{T}-p)/10]$	8	0.147367
Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/10$] 8 0.071747 Ahead period (p): 24 months number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.344298 TBATS - 0.316312 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.073157 Ahead period (p): 36 months - 0.316312 - Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.219868 TBATS - - 0.195695 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.219868 TBATS - - 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.115008 Ahead period (p): 48 months - - 0.386902 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 BATS - - 0.162403 0.162403	TBATS	-	-	0.172067
Ahead period (p): 24 months number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.344298 TBATS - - 0.316312 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.073157 Ahead period (p): 36 months - - 0.316312 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.219868 TBATS - - 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.115008 Ahead period (p): 48 months window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.115008 Ahead period (p): 48 months window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.162403 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.143382	Hybrid SSA-TBATS	floor[(T-p)/10]	8	0.071747
Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.344298 TBATS - 0.316312 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.073157 Ahead period (p): 36 months - 0.016312 0.073157 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.219868 TBATS - - 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.115008 Ahead period (p): 48 months - - 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 TBATS - - 0.162403 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.143382	Ahead period (p): 24 months			
SSA floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.344298 TBATS - 0.316312 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.073157 Ahead period (p): 36 months - 0.316312 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.219868 TBATS - 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.115008 Ahead period (p): 48 months - 0.115008 - Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 TBATS - 0.162403 - Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.143382	Method of forecasting:	window length	number of groups	MAPE (%)
TBATS - 0.316312 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(\mathcal{T} - p)/6$] 19 0.073157 Ahead period (p): 36 months - 0.316312 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(\mathcal{T} - p)/8$] 15 0.219868 TBATS - - 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(\mathcal{T} - p)/8$] 15 0.115008 Ahead period (p): 48 months - - 0.15008 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(\mathcal{T} - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 TBATS - - 0.162403 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(\mathcal{T} - p)/8$] 15 0.143382	SSA	$floor[(\mathcal{T}-p)/6]$	19	0.344298
Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/6$] 19 0.073157 Ahead period (p): 36 months	TBATS		-	0.316312
Ahead period (p): 36 months number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.219868 TBATS - 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.115008 Ahead period (p): 48 months window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.115008 Ahead period (p): 48 months - 0.16008 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 TBATS - 0.162403 15 0.143382	Hybrid SSA-TBATS	$floor[(\mathcal{T}-p)/6]$	19	0.073157
Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(\mathcal{T} - p)/8$] 15 0.219868 TBATS - 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(\mathcal{T} - p)/8$] 15 0.115008 Ahead period (p): 48 months window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(\mathcal{T} - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 TBATS - 0.162403 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(\mathcal{T} - p)/8$] 15 0.143382	Ahead period (p): 36 months	** ** *		
SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.219868 TBATS - 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.115008 Ahead period (p): 48 months - 0.195695 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 TBATS - 0.162403 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.143382	Method of forecasting:	window length	number of groups	MAPE (%)
TBATS - 0.195695 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.115008 Ahead period (p): 48 months - 0.165095 Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 TBATS - 0.162403 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.143382	SSA	$floor[(\mathcal{T}-p)/8]$	15	0.219868
Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(\mathcal{T} - p)/8$] 15 0.115008 Ahead period (p): 48 months Number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(\mathcal{T} - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 TBATS - 0.162403 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(\mathcal{T} - p)/8$] 15 0.143382	TBATS	-	_	0.195695
Ahead period (p): 48 months number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 TBATS - 0.162403 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.143382	Hybrid SSA-TBATS	floor[(T-p)/8]	15	0.115008
Method of forecasting: window length number of groups MAPE (%) SSA floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 TBATS - 0.162403 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(T - p)/8$] 15 0.143382	Ahead period (p): 48 months	** * *		
SSA floor[$(\mathcal{T} - p)/8$] 15 0.386902 TBATS - 0.162403 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(\mathcal{T} - p)/8$] 15 0.143382	Method of forecasting:	window length	number of groups	MAPE (%)
TBATS - 0.162403 Hybrid SSA-TBATS floor[$(\mathcal{T} - p)/8$] 15 0.143382	SSA	$floor[(\mathcal{T}-p)/8]$	15	0.386902
Hybrid SSA-TBATS $floor[(\mathcal{T} - p)/8]$ 15 0.143382	TBATS	-	-	0.162403
	Hybrid SSA-TBATS	floor[(T-p)/8]	15	0.143382

 $\mathcal{T} = 355$

window length = [(T - p)/k], k = 2, 3, ..., 10

Table 2 shows that the SSA, TBATS, and Hybrid SSA-TBATS yield MAPE values of less than 10% based on the duration of the forward periods. Regarding Indonesian export forecasting, the three techniques demonstrate outstanding predictive ability. The hybrid SSA-TBATS has the lowest MAPE value compared to SSA and TBATS. It happens because the Hybrid SSA-TBATS combines the advantages of both SSA and TBATS. They are appropriate for stationary or non-stationary data, have complicated seasonal components, and follow linear or nonlinear processes.

For the month ahead, the MAPE generated by Hybrid SSA-TBATS is 0.000380%. Instead, for forwarding periods of more than one month, the MAPE value of the Hybrid SSA-TBATS remains less than 0.14 percent. The absence of an increase or throw in window length and group size results from rising forward periods or decreasing MAPE. It means that the data's qualities determine the window length and the number of groups. The use of MAPE or equivalent measures as a guide for determining window length and group size is an alternative strategy that can be used regardless of the nature of the data or the need for additional supporting information. This technique is consistent with the proposal developed by Khan and Poskitt (2012). Furthermore, the window length determination proposed by Khan and Poskitt (2013) and Yang et al. (2016) correlates

MAPE generated by Hybrid SSA-TBATS tends to increase when the forward time is more than or equal to 12 months (12, 24, 36, and 48 months). It suggests that forecast uncertainty increases as the forward time lengthens. It means that the Hybrid SSA-TBATS is only effective for a limited time.



Fig. 3. Indonesian Export Movement (Actual Data) for the Period of January 1993 – July 2022 (In-sample) and Export Forecasting for the Period of August 2022 – July 2023 (Out-sample)

The forecasting observations produced by SSA-TBATS are quite accurate, despite their short-term character, and are particularly useful to the appropriate authorities in establishing trade and monetary policies. Indonesia's export estimate for August 2022-July 2023 reveals that developments are slowly improving, with an average growth rate of 0.61132%, indicating that exports could assist the economy (See Figure 3 and Table 3).

	0	<u> </u>	
Year	Month	Forecasted of Export (millions of U.S. dollars)	Export Growth (%)
2022	Aug	25817.80349	-
	Sept	28844.08428	11.72168
	Oct	28452.97149	-1.35595
	Nov	27737.99135	-2.51285
	Dec	28029.10227	1.04950
2023	Jan	26467.72493	-5.57056
	Feb	26646.08767	0.67389
	Mar	28693.13021	7.68234
	Apr	28473.00562	-0.76717
	May	28313.74722	-0.55933
	June	27923.76943	-1.37734
	July	27292.78674	-2.25966
Average of Growth (%)			0.61132

 Table 3

 Export Forecasting and Growth, Period August 2022 – July 2023.

This finding corresponds with Sumiyarti (2015) and Ginting (2017) findings that support the "export-led growth" hypothesis, but in Indonesia, the influence of exports is less dominant in GDP compared to household consumption, which has an average share of 60.29291%, exports, and imports, which have average shares of 27.75517% and 24.93603%, respectively. Throughout the period 1992Q2 - 2022Q2, the movement of the consumption share has always been greater than the movement of the export and import shares (see Fig. 4). Because imports are a declining component of GDP, the net export component has an average GDP share of 2.8191448%. The Indonesian economy's tiny percentage of net exports has an advantage in that it is not affected as severely when there is a foreign shock, such as the Russian-Ukrainian war, reduced demand for certain goods, and so on. This highlights how important the household consumption expenditure component is to the Indonesian economy. Furthermore, the SSA-TBATS forecast for Indonesian exports shows a rising trend, implying that the Rupiah will weaken against the USD between August 2022 and July 2023. This is typical since exporters are paid in USD and profit gradually when the Rupiah's exchange rate against the USD declines, meaning that the country's foreign exchange in the form of foreign currency rises. However, rupiah depreciation against the USD must be controlled and stabilized so that it does not set a precedent for an economic crisis through various policy packages in both the real and monetary sectors, such as from the real side: controlling prices of necessities, providing business stimulus through business assistance and business loans, improving investment licensing flows, and from the monetary side, namely the policy mixed of Bank Indonesia (Bank Indonesia, 2022): (a) Expand monetary policy by raising the interest rate structure in the money market to conform to the BI7DRR rate hike in order to lower inflation expectations and guarantee core inflation returns to goal as promptly as feasible; (b) Improving rupiah stability in the exchange rate by maintaining it in the market as part of initiatives to mitigate inflation, particularly importing it through foreign exchange market interventions such as observing financial transactions, Domestic Non-Deliverable Forward (DNDF), and the aftermarket purchase or sale of government securities (SBN); (c) Sustaining SBN sales and purchases in the secondary market in order to boost BI7DRR transmission improves SBN yield competitiveness for global portfolio investors and strengthens rupiah currency stability; (d) Publishing Bank Indonesia sukuk instruments (SukBI) based on underlying securities (SukBI inclusive) and designated as inclusive financing securities (SBPI), in accordance with Bank Indonesia's obligation to continue to support inclusive financing and the growth of the Islamic economy and finance; (e) Extending the Basic Lending Rate Transparency Policy by enhancing the examination of the bank's rate of interest receptivity to the policy rate; (f) Keep encouraging the use of QRIS and improving QRIS features and services, including extending the reach of QRIS beyond borders, in order to meet the goal of 15 million new QRIS users by October 2022; (g) Support payment system inventiveness, such as preserving the general acceptance of BI-FAST through expanded engagement, channels of service, and regular communication with the public.



Fig. 4. Visualization of household consumption expenditure share, exports, and imports, Indonesia for the period 1992Q2-2022Q2

4. Conclusions

Forecasting Indonesia's exports, as previously stated, provides evidence that: (1) the Hybrid SSA-TBATS method has superior forecasting performance compared to SSA and TBATS, (2) the determination of window length and several groups based on MAPE criteria is highly recommended because it does not require information about the characteristics of the data to be forecasted, (3) the Hybrid SSA-TBATS method has excellent performance for an upcoming period of fewer than 12 months.

Further research necessitates a simulation investigation of the Hybrid SSA-TBATS forecasting performance on data from long memory, nonlinear, random walk, and chaotic processes by defining the window length and the number of groups based on MAPE.

Monitoring export developments is critical for the government because it can be used as an early warning system indicator to anticipate economic shocks from internal and external factors, allowing economic policy packages to be developed that strengthen the foundation of the domestic economy.

Author Contributions: "Conceptualization, S.S. and M.F.; methodology, M.F. and S.S.; software, H.Y., and M.F.; validation, H.S., M.F. and H.Y.; formal analysis, M.F., C.R.L., and S.S..; investigation, M.F., and S.S.; resources, M.F.; data curation, M.F..; writing—original draft preparation, S.S., M.F., H.Y., and C.R.L.; writing—review and editing, S.S., and C.R.L.; visualization, H.Y., and M.F.; supervision, S.S., M.F., and C.R.L.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript". **Funding:** "This research was supported by LEMBAGA PENGELOLA DANA PENDIDIKAN (LPDP) UNDER THE MIN-ISTRY OF FINANCE OF THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA and CENTRAL FOR HIGHER EDUCATION FUNDING or BALAI PEMBIAYAAN PENDIDIKAN TINGGI (BPPT) UNDER THE MINISTRY OF EDUCATION, CULTURE, RE-SEARCH, AND TECHNOLOGY (KEMENDIKBUDRISTEK) OF THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA".

Acknowledgments

The authors sincerely acknowledge the financial assistance granted by the Lembaga Pengelola Dana Pendidikan (LPDP) under the Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia. We gratefully acknowledge the funding from Central for Higher Education Funding or Balai Pembiayaan Pendidikan Tinggi (BPPT) under the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology of the Republic of Indonesia.

Conflicts of Interest

The funders were not involved in the design of the study, data collection, analysis, interpretation, manuscript development, or the decision to publish the findings.

References

- Aydln, S., Saraoğlu, H. M., & Kara, S. (2011). Singular spectrum analysis of sleep EEG in insomnia. Journal of Medical Systems, 35(4), 457–461. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-009-9381-7.
- Balassa, B. (1978). EXPORTS AND ECONOMIC GROWTH Farther evidence. In *Journal of Development Jiconomics* (Vol. 5). Holland Publishing Company.
- Bank Indonesia. (2022, November 17). BI 7-Day Reverse Repo Rate Naik 50 bps Menjadi 5,25%: Sinergi Menjaga Stabilitas dan Momentum Pemulihan . Siaran Pers. https://www.bi.go.id/id/publikasi/ruang-media/news-release/Pages/sp_2431322.aspx.
- de Livera, A. M., Hyndman, R. J., & Snyder, R. D. (2011). Forecasting time series with complex seasonal patterns using exponential smoothing. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 106(496), 1513–1527. https://doi.org/10.1198/jasa.2011.tm09771.
- Fajar, M. (2019). An Application of Hybrid Forecasting Singular Spectrum Analysis Extreme Learning Machine Method in Foreign Tourists Forecasting. Jurnal Matematika "MANTIK," 5(2), 60–68. https://doi.org/10.15642/mantik.2019.5.2.60-68.
- Ghodsi, M., & Yarmohammadi, M. (2014). Exchange rate forecasting with optimum singular spectrum analysis. Journal of Systems Science and Complexity, 27(1), 47–55. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11424-014-3303-6
- Ginting, A. M. (2017). ANALISIS PENGARUH EKSPOR TERHADAP PERTUMBUHAN EKONOMI INDONESIA. Buletin Ilmiah Litbang Perdagangan, 11(1), 1–20. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.30908/bilp.v11i1.185.
- Golyandina, N. E., Alexandrov, T., & Golyandina, N. (2005). Automatic extraction and forecast of time series cyclic components within the framework of SSA Singular Spectrum Analysis View project Automatic extraction and forecast of time series cyclic components within the framework of SSA. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/237743635.
- Golyandina, N., & Korobeynikov, A. (2014). Basic Singular Spectrum Analysis and forecasting with R. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 71, 934–954. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2013.04.009
- Golyandina, N., & Zhigljavsky, A. (2013). Singular Spectrum Analysis for Time Series. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-34913-3.
- Hassani, H., Heravi, S., & Zhigljavsky, A. (2009). Forecasting European industrial production with singular spectrum analysis. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 25(1), 103–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijforecast.2008.09.007.
- Hassani, H., Soofi, A. S., & Zhigljavsky, A. A. (2010). Predicting daily exchange rate with singular spectrum analysis. Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications, 11(3), 2023–2034. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2009.05.008.
- Hassani, H., & Zhigljavsky, A. (2009). SINGULAR SPECTRUM ANALYSIS: METHODOLOGY AND APPLICATION TO ECONOMICS DATA *. Jrl Syst Sci & Complexity, 22, 372–394.
- Helpman, E., & Krugman, P. (1985). Market Structure and Foreign Trade: Increasing Returns, Imperfect Competition, and the International Economy. The MIT Press.
- Jung, W. S., & Marshall, P. J. (1985). GROWTH AND CAUSALITY IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. Journal of Development Economics, 18(1), 1–12.
- Kavoussi, R. M. (1984). Export expansion and economic growth: further empirical evidence. Journal of Development Economics, 14, 241–250.
- Khan, M. A. R., & Poskitt, D. S. (2012). Moment tests for window length selection in singular spectrum analysis of shortand long-memory processes. *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, 34(2), 141–155. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9892.2012.00820.x.
- Khan, M. A. R., & Poskitt, D. S. (2013). A Note on window length selection in singular spectrum analysis. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Statistics, 55(2), 87–108. https://doi.org/10.1111/anzs.12027.
- Krueger, A. O. (1980). American Economic Association Trade Policy as an Input to Development. Source: The American Economic Review, 70(2), 288–292.

- Kumar, U., & Jain, V. K. (2010). Time series models (Grey-Markov, Grey Model with rolling mechanism and singular spectrum analysis) to forecast energy consumption in India. *Energy*, 35(4), 1709–1716. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2009.12.021.
- Marques, C. A. F., Ferreira, J. A., Rocha, A., Castanheira, J. M., Melo-Gonçalves, P., Vaz, N., & Dias, J. M. (2006). Singular spectrum analysis and forecasting of hydrological time series. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 31(18), 1172–1179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2006.02.061.
- Menezes, R., Dionísio, A., & Hassani, H. (2012). On the globalization of stock markets: An application of Vector Error Correction Model, Mutual Information and Singular Spectrum Analysis to the G7 countries. *Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 52(4), 369–384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qref.2012.10.002

Phillips, P. C. B., & Perron, P. (1988). Testing for a unit root in time series regression. Source: Biometrika, 75(2), 335-381.

- Qu, Z. (2011). A test against spurious long memory. Journal of Business and Economic Statistics, 29(3), 423-438. https://doi.org/10.1198/jbes.2010.09153.
- Srinivasan, T. N., & Bhagwati, J. N. (1979). Trade Policy and Development. In International Economic Policy: Theory and Evidence (1st ed., pp. 1–38). Johns Hopkins University Press. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/248145710.
- Suhartono, Isnawati, S., Salehah, N. A., Prastyo, D. D., Kuswanto, H., & Lee, M. H. (2018). Hybrid SSA-TSR-ARIMA for water demand forecasting. *International Journal of Advances in Intelligent Informatics*, 4(3), 238–250. https://doi.org/10.26555/ijain.v4i3.275.
- Sulandari, W., Subanar, Suhartono, Utami, H., Lee, M. H., & Rodrigues, P. C. (2020). Ssa-based hybrid forecasting models and applications. *Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics*, 9(5), 2178–2188. https://doi.org/10.11591/eei.v9i5.1950.
- Sumiyarti, S. (2015). APAKAH HIPOTESIS "EXPORT LED GROWTH" BERLAKU DI INDONESIA? Jurnal Ekonomi & Studi Pembangunan (JESP), 16(2), 188–199.
- Thomakos, D. D., Wang, T., & Wille, T. (2002). Modeling daily realized futures volatility with singular spectrum analysis. In *Physica A* (Vol. 312). www.elsevier.com/locate/physa.
- Tsay, R. S. (1986). Nonlinearity tests for time series. Biometrika, 73(2), 461-467.
- Unnikrishnan, P., & Jothiprakash, V. (2020). Hybrid SSA-ARIMA-ANN Model for Forecasting Daily Rainfall. Water Resources Management, 34(11), 3609–3623. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-020-02638-w
- Wang, J., & Li, X. (2018). A combined neural network model for commodity price forecasting with SSA. Soft Computing, 22(16), 5323–5333. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00500-018-3023-2.
- Wen, F., Xiao, J., He, Z., & Gong, X. (2014). Stock price prediction based on SSA and SVM. Procedia Computer Science, 31, 625–631. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2014.05.309.
- Yang, B., Dong, Y., Yu, C., & Hou, Z. (2016). Singular Spectrum Analysis Window Length Selection in Processing Capacitive Captured Biopotential Signals. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 16(19), 7183–7193. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSEN.2016.2594189.
- Zhang, H., Yang, Y., Zhang, Y., He, Z., Yuan, W., Yang, Y., Qiu, W., & Li, L. (2021). A combined model based on SSA, neural networks, and LSSVM for short-term electric load and price forecasting. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 33(2), 773–788. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-020-05113-0.
- Zhang, Q., Wang, B. de, He, B., Peng, Y., & Ren, M. L. (2011). Singular Spectrum Analysis and ARIMA Hybrid Model for Annual Runoff Forecasting. *Water Resources Management*, 25(11), 2683–2703. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-011-9833-y.



© 2023 by the authors; licensee Growing Science, Canada. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).