Detecting fraudulent financial statements in pharmaceutical companies: Fraud pentagon theory perspective
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Abstract

A fraudulent financial statement is an issue that continues to be discussed as a form of deviation from corporate governance. Covid-19 pandemic has also demanded management to uphold the company's performance to have a good public image. Thus, the present study sets out to scrutinize the fraud pentagon theory on fraudulent financial statements. Each element is not able to be tested directly. However, there are proxies. The pressure element is proxied as a personal financial need. The opportunity is becoming the nature of industry. Each of the qualities of the external auditors as well as the change of directors propose rationalization and competence. The frequent number of CEO’s appearances in photos is a proxy of arrogance. The testing was carried out on the registered pharmaceutical companies of the Indonesian stock exchange in the span of the 2015-2019 period. The samples were selected by the means of sampling technique which is purposive. Data are scrutinized by the means of panel data regression. The analysis results show that the characteristics of the industry positively affects financial reports which are fraudulent. Changing top management positions such as directors can be an indication of financial reports which are fraudulent. The personal financial need variables, the caliber of external auditors and the quantity of CEO’s appearance in photos pose no effects on the fraudulent financial statements of the Indonesian's pharmaceutical companies.
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1. Introduction

The pandemic of COVID 19 causes a slowdown in various sectors of the economy (Donthu & Gustafsson, 2020; Hadiiwardoyo, 2020; Sigala, 2020). Seetharaman (2020) stated that the pandemic impacts caused widespread business stoppages and a temporary decline in the aggregate supply of consumption and investment reduced demand for goods and services. These caused the company to take steps to maintain the company's performance. High pressure in maintaining image and performance, has caused the company to do everything possible for them (Ariyanto et al., 2020). One of the illegal ways that can be done is by manipulating the financial reports (Rengganis et al., 2019; Rukmana, 2018; Sepriyani & Handayani, 2018). Financial statement manipulation has occurred in several companies before the pandemic took place (Vivianita & Indudewi, 2019; Yateno & Sari, 2016). This manipulation has even occurred in a sector that was experiencing a surge in sales during a pandemic, namely the pharmaceutical industry. The pharmaceutical company that was proven to have manipulated financial statements was PT Kimia Farma in 2002. The manipulation occurred in some units; namely, the raw material industry that experienced Rp 2.7 billion worth of overstated sales, there was also Rp 23.9 billion worth of overstated inventory in the central logistics unit, Rp 8.1 billion worth of overstated inventory and Rp 10.7 billion worth of overstated sale in the pharmaceutical
wholesaler unit (Pasaribu & Kharisma, 2018). These cases also proved that the pharmaceutical industry was an industry that was prone to manipulation, especially in the supply sector (Putriana et al., 2018). Previous studies on the fraud pentagon theory were conducted on several types of companies in Indonesia, such as manufacturing companies (Agusputri & Sofie, 2019; Kurnia & Anis, 2017; Setiawati & Baningrum, 2018), food and beverage subsector (Triyanto, 2019) and the banking industry (Hidayah & Saptarini, 2019; Saputra & Kesumaningrum, 2017; Tessa, 2016). This research fills the research gap by testing it in the pharmaceutical industry in Indonesia. Since there has been no similar research examining the fraud pentagon theory, this study was held in the pharmaceutical industry in Indonesia. Another reason for choosing the pharmaceutical industry is because this industry has experienced an increase in sales during a pandemic and has become a business that tends to be profitable. This is because the license to produce the covid-19 vaccine is given to pharmaceutical companies. As a form of social responsibility, pharmaceutical companies should be free from fraud scandals to gain the trust of the public (Rahayu et al., 2019). This is quite reasonable considering that the covid-19 vaccine is related to the health and livelihoods of many people. Therefore, it is necessary to identify a theory that would be used to predict indications of fraudulent financial statements. Early predictions are needed by regulators to set rules and to prevent pharmaceutical companies, as covid-19 vaccine producers, from fraudulent financial reports.

2. Literature review and hypotheses formulation

An agency relationship is a contract in which one or more people (principal) order another person (agent) to perform a service on behalf of the principal and authorize the agent to make the best decisions for the principal. If both parties have the same goal of maximizing company value, it is believed that the agent will act in a manner consistent with the principal's interests. Agency theory describes the relationship between shareholders as the principal and management as the agent. Management is a party contracted by shareholders to work for the interests of shareholders. Because they are elected, the management must be accountable for all of its work to the shareholders (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). The latest development of the fraud model is the fraud pentagon. It is developed from the fraud triangle as well as the fraud diamond theories. Fraud triangle theory is a theory put forward by Donald R. Cressey in his dissertation in 1953 on respondents who were fraud perpetrators based on court decisions. Cressey (1953) stated that the act of fraud was inspired by three facets, i.e., pressure, opportunity as well as rationalization (Ariyanto et al., 2020; Bujaki et al., 2019; Kanten & Ulker, 2013). Wolfe and Hermanson (2004) proposed the fraud diamond theory as a new viewpoint on the cases of fraud. The theory was built on Cressey’s fraud triangle theory (1953).

In addition to the pressure elements, the opportunity elements and the rationalization elements, Wolfe & Hermanson (2004) added a qualitative element which they believed could influence fraud, namely the capability elements. As such, the new theory explains that the four elements affect a person to commit fraud. Marks (2012) added two elements, namely competence (competence) and arrogance (arrogance). The competence which he proposed and the capabilities put forward by Wolfe and Hermanson in their fraud diamond theory are very similar. Crowe Howarth defined competence or capability as “the employees’ ability to ignore internal controls, develop concealment strategies, and control social situations for their gain” (Tessa, 2016). Arrogance is defined as an attitude stemming from superiority or an arrogant nature in those who hold that internal control cannot be enforced personally (Apriliana & Agustina, 2017). This arrogance arises from the belief that he can do cheating and that the control cannot befall him (Danuta, 2017; Tugas, 2012).

Beasley (1996) argues that when the executives in the company show great financial interest in the institution’s welfare, then the company's financial performance will influence their personal financial situations. Good company's performance will be beneficial for the personal financial needs of the executives, especially those who own shares in the company. However, when the company's performance is bad, the personal financial needs of these executives will be adversely affected. With an attitude towards what is owned, where executives want to ensure that their financial condition is good, it is within the interest of the company executives to ensure that the company's performance will remain good by not taking actions that can harm it, one of which indicates a fraud (Siddiq et al., 2017). Kusumaningsih and Wirajaya, (2017); Yusof et al. (2015) states that the executive will tend to take action to maintain his financial condition. Thus, personal financial need is thought to trigger indications of fraud. Aprillia (2017) found that the pressure experienced by company executives was able to influence the chances of fraud. Kusumaningsih and Wirajaya (2017) revealed that financial personal need has negative effects on fraud. The research is in line with Kusumaningrum and Murianto (2016) who found that insider stock ownership negatively affects the detection of financial reports which are fraudulent. As such, the first hypothesis is prepared, namely, the better the personal financial welfare or shared ownership of insiders, the lower the occurrence of financial reports which are fraudulent.

**H1:** Personal financial needs have negative effects on indications of financial reports which are fraudulent.

There exist particular accounts whose balance is governed by the company contingent on an approximation, for instance, accounts receivable as well as obsolete inventory (Suparmini et al., 2020; Tiffani & Marfuah, 2015). Sihombing & Rahardjo (2014) explained that there is an element of subjectivity in determining the worth of the accounts in the financial statements which results in management being able to use the accounts as a way to manipulate financial reports. Accrual discretion requires strict internal control or supervision of the company (Sunardi & Amin, 2018). Weak controls will provide a great opportunity for fraud to occur (Wicaksono, 2015). Inventory conditions are a manifestation of the characteristics of the industry which could be answered by various feedbacks which the company managers provided. The characteristics of the industry is defined as the ideal condition for a company operating in the line business (Septriyani & Handayani, 2018; Sunardi &
The situation of the company's inventory is an aspect in the characteristics of the industry. A good company tends to restrain and reduce the company's inventory while raising the company's cash flow receipts (Skousen et al., 2009). Skousen et al. (2009) measure the characteristics of the industry by the means of the ratio of changes in total inventories as well as in total accounts receivable. Previous research carried out by Yendrawati et al. (2019); Putriasih (2016); Siddiq et al. (2017) also revealed how the industry’s characteristics positively influences the detection of financial reports which are fraudulent. Hence, the second hypothesis can be formulated, namely the higher the characteristics of the industry or the perfect condition of a company operating in the industry, the lower the occurrence of financial reports which are fraudulent.

**H2**: The nature of industry positively affects the indications of financial reports which are fraudulent.

Chen et al. (2005) stated that companies whose audits are completed by reputable public accounting firms are less likely to commit fraud before the IPO process when juxtaposed with institutions whose audits are carried out by Big 4 public accounting firms. This shows that choosing a public accounting firm belonging to the Big 4 is a barrier for companies to cheating (Suparmini et al., 2020). Chen (2016) in his research showed that the Big 4 auditing firms reduce the incidence of financial scandals in foreign companies. Weiner (2012) stated that the size of the auditor's company indicates credibility and is followed by the disclosure of corporate fraud. Likewise expressed by Fimanaya & Syafruddin (2014); Noor et al. (2015) that the size of the auditing firms has affected the possibility of manipulation of the financial statements. Provided the explanation above, the third hypothesis of this study can be drawn up, namely, the better the caliber of the independent auditors, the smaller the occurrence of financial reports which are fraudulent.

**H3**: The quality of external auditors negatively affects the indications which marks financial reports which are fraudulent.

Altering the makeup of the board of directors is intended to improve corporate governance for the better (Annisya et al., 2016a). Suparmini et al. (2020) stated that changing top management positions such as directors could be seen as an effort to remove directing officials who were deemed to have knowledge of the fraud perpetrated by the institution. Thus, the change of directors was deemed to demand time to adapt so that initial performance was not optimal. Manurung and Hardika (2015) use the change of directors as variables to determine the potential for financial statement fraud. They managed to prove that altering the makeup of the board of directors significantly and positively affects the potential for financial reports which are fraudulent. This research is supported by Nurbaiti & Hanafi (2017) stating that changing top management poses a notable effect on accounting irregularities. Moreover, a research by Mardiani et al. (2017) disclosed that the changing top management positions such as directors brings about influences on financial reports which are fraudulent. Thus, the fourth hypothesis for this study can be formulated as follows, the more frequent top management positions such as directors change, the higher the occurrence of financial reports which are fraudulent becomes.

**H4**: Change in directors positively affects the indications of financial reports which are fraudulent.

Fraud can also be preceded by strong arrogance because a CEO’s feeling of superiority may lead them to feel that they are immune to any internal controls due to their status and position (Tessa, 2016). One measure of arrogance can be seen from...
the frequency with which CEO photos appear in company annual reports (Buchholz et al., 2019). If a CEO has enough photos in the company’s yearly statement, the CEO is considered to have a desire to be known by the wider community (Yusof, 2015). This is assessed as the presence of arrogance in the CEO (Yusof, 2015). This agrees with a study carried out by Bawakes et al. (2018); Setiawati & Baningrum (2018) which show fraudulent financial reports are significantly affected by how often the images of the CEO appear. This is because the amount of the CEO’s photos shown in the yearly reports of an institution can suggest how arrogant and superior they may be perceived. However, this is not supported by research by Sasongko & Wijayantika (2019); Ulfah et al. (2017); Vivianita & Indudewi (2019) which indicate that how often the CEO’s images appear bears no effects on the reporting of financial reports which are fraudulent. The frequency of appearance of the CEO image is used to measure arrogance which is included in the fraud pentagon element.

**H₅:** The frequent number of CEO photos indicates the financial reports which are fraudulent.

### 3. Research method

The present research was carried out on pharmaceutical companies registered on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (BEI) during the span of 2015-2019 by accessing the official website of Indonesia’s stock exchange (IDX), namely www.idx.co.id. The yearly reports of these pharmaceutical institutions during the aforementioned period serves as the object of study in the present research. This effort focuses on information related to financial reports which are fraudulent, personal financial needs, characteristics of the industry, independent auditor’s qualifications, changing of top management positions such as directors and displays of CEO photos. All pharmaceutical institutions registered on the IDX serve as the population in the present study. The sample used is a pharmaceutical company registered on the IDX selected through a technique of sampling which is purposive, with the criteria of publishing consecutive reports during the 2015-2019 period and not delisting during the 2015-2019 period. Based on documentary observations, there are 10 pharmaceutical institutions registered on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) with two companies that did not issue reports consecutively, namely PT Phiapros Tbk and PT Merck Sharp Dohme Pharma Tbk. There were as many as 8 companies which could be sampled with 5 years of observation. Thus, 40 samples were obtained. Examining financial reports which are fraudulent in the present study involves using the fraud score model put forward by Dechow et al. (2012). Skousen et al. explained that “the F-score model is the sum of two variables, i.e., the accrual quality and financial performance” (2009). The variable of personal financial condition is proxied by insider ownership of shares (OSHIP). OSHIP proxy is the cumulative percentage of ownership in a company owned by an insider, calculated by the shares owned by the insider with the number of shares outstanding. The present study uses the total inventory that is divided by the sum sales of the research year minus the total inventory divided by the sum sales of the previous year as a proxy for the nature of the industry. Furthermore, the quality of independent auditors is measured using an ordinal scale (dummy variable), namely by providing code 1 for companies audited by the Big Four involved, namely Ernst & Young, Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, KPMG and Pricewaterhouse Coopers as well as providing code 0 for companies whose audit were not performed by the aforementioned firms. The present study measures the proxy of competence with changes in company directors. This measurement uses an ordinal scale (dummy variable), namely by providing code 1 to mark that there has been a change in directing positions in the company during the observed period. Otherwise, if there has not been a change in directing positions in the company during the observed period, it is coded 0. Arrogance is proxied by the sum displays of CEO photos on the yearly financial report of the company for the study year. The data analysis performed was panel data regression analysis.

### 4. Results

Before testing the hypothesis, the sample descriptions and descriptions of the research variables, both the dependent and independent variables, are described.

#### Table 1

**Descriptive Statistical Analysis Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>X₁</th>
<th>X₂</th>
<th>X₃</th>
<th>X₄</th>
<th>X₅</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.073570</td>
<td>0.025785</td>
<td>0.040700</td>
<td>0.450000</td>
<td>0.500000</td>
<td>2.900000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>0.623192</td>
<td>0.324000</td>
<td>0.807555</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>5.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>-0.443082</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>-0.165907</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>0.208744</td>
<td>0.0086062</td>
<td>0.164623</td>
<td>0.503831</td>
<td>0.496139</td>
<td>1.256777</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Data processed, 2020

Notes: Y = fraudulent financial statements, X₁ = personal financial need, X₂ = nature of industry, X₃ = quality of external auditors, X₄ = change of directors, X₅ = the appearance of the CEO’s photo.

On average, 7.35 percent of pharmaceutical companies committed fraudulent financial statements in the period 2015 to 2019. As much as 2.57 percent of manufacturing company shares in circulation are owned by company insiders such as employees, managers, and directors. The mean for the nature of the industry is 0.040700. The mean value of the industry’s nature is closer to the minimum value, meaning that the average disclosure of total inventory and sales in pharmaceutical institutions tends to be lower. The mean value for the quality of external auditors is 0.450000. This indicates that the average pharmaceutical company audited by Big 4 auditors is 45 percent. The mean value of change of directors is 0.50000. The average value of
change of directors is approaching the maximum value, thus suggesting that the average pharmaceutical company sampled in the 2015-2019 period made a change of directors by 50 percent. The average pharmaceutical company displays 2.9 photos of the CEO in its annual financial statements. The standard deviation of the appearance of the CEO's photo is 1.256777. This value is located below the average, which means that the data does not fluctuate highly. The assumption test is carried out only on the multicollinearity assumption because there is more than one independent variable and the heteroscedasticity test (Ratnasari & Solikhah, 2019). The matrix correlation for independent variables showed an absence of high correlation value between independent variables which is not more than 0.80. This means the data is free from multicollinearity (Ghozali, 2013).

Table 2
Matrix Correlation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>X1</th>
<th>X2</th>
<th>X3</th>
<th>X4</th>
<th>X5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2</td>
<td>-0.083275</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3</td>
<td>-0.075151</td>
<td>0.064880</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4</td>
<td>-0.104432</td>
<td>-0.196389</td>
<td>0.301511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X5</td>
<td>0.278573</td>
<td>0.157945</td>
<td>0.225810</td>
<td>-0.132164</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X1 = personal financial need, X2 = nature of industry, X3 = external auditor quality, X4 = change of director, X5 = frequent number of CEO picture.

The outcomes of the heteroscedasticity test indicate the significant value of the personal financial needs variable, the industry’s nature, the caliber of the independent auditors, the change of directors and, the number of displays of CEO’s photos on the absolute residual variable is above 0.05. Thus, this suggests that the data analyzed in the present study do not suffer from a heteroscedasticity problem. Having passed the classical assumption test, it is followed by testing the research hypothesis. The present study uses the analysis techniques of panel data regression to test all hypotheses. Selection of the right model for testing the hypothesis is carried out by using the Chow test, Hausman test and Lagrange Multiplier test. Chow test results show a probability value greater than 0.05. The appropriate model based on the Chow test results is the Common Effect Model. Moreover, the Hausman test results reveal that the probability value is greater than 0.05. The appropriate model to use based on the Hausman test is the Random Effect Model. Lagrange multiplier test results point to a probability value greater than 0.05. As such, the appropriate model to use based on the Lagrange multiplier test is the Common Effect Model. Provided the three model tests, the results of the Chow test and the Lagrange multiplier test show the most appropriate Common Effect Model. Thus, the model used to test the Common Effect Model (CEM) hypothesis.

Table 3
Hypothesis Testing Results with the Common Effect Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient Value</th>
<th>T-Statistic value</th>
<th>Probability Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>α</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Financial Need (X1)</td>
<td>β1</td>
<td>-0.150234</td>
<td>-0.455520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature Of Industry (X2)</td>
<td>β2</td>
<td>0.387061</td>
<td>2.124993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Auditor Quality (X3)</td>
<td>β3</td>
<td>-0.018519</td>
<td>-0.313438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in Directors (X4)</td>
<td>β4</td>
<td>0.198099</td>
<td>3.255912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of CEO Photo Appearance (X5)</td>
<td>β5</td>
<td>-0.030365</td>
<td>-1.282349</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjusted R-squared | 0.309391 |
F-statistic | 4.494384 |
Prob(F-statistic) | 0.002987 |

Source: Data processed, 2020
Information:
*** indicates significant at the level α = 1%
** indicates significant at the level α = 5%
* indicates significant at the level α = 10%

The first hypothesis (H1) in the present study states that personal financial need has negative effects on financial reports which are fraudulent. Also, the size of the proportion of shares in pharmaceutical companies owned by insiders does not have an impact on fraudulent financial reports. These results disagree with the hypothesis stating that personal financial needs negatively affect financial reports which are fraudulent. The number of companies which implemented share ownership by company insiders in pharmaceutical companies between 2015 and 2019. This affected the research results.

The second hypothesis (H2) in this study states that the characteristics of the industry positively affect financial reports which are fraudulent. The nature of the industry has effects on fraudulent financial statements. The direction of the nature of the industry variable regression coefficient in this study has a positive sign of 0.387061. The analysis result shows that fraudulent financial statements are positively affected by the nature of the industry. The higher the nature of the industry or the ideal condition of a company in the industry, the higher the indication of the occurrence of fraud in financial statements.

The third hypothesis (H3) in the present study argues that the caliber of independent auditors poses negative effects on financial reports which are fraudulent. The size of the auditor company, regardless of Big 4 audit companies or not, had no effects on the fraudulent practice on financial reports. This result disagrees with the hypothesis arguing that the quality of external
The fourth hypothesis (H4) in the present study states that changing directors pose negative effects on financial reports which are fraudulent. The direction of the regression coefficient is positive at 0.198099, which means that the change of directors increases fraudulent financial statements at pharmaceutical companies. The results of the present study are contingent on the theoretical platform used, namely the fraud pentagon theory.

The analysis results of the panel data regression suggest that the appearance of CEO photos has not affected fraudulent financial reports. This result rejects the sound hypothesis which states that the appearance of the CEO's photo positively affects fraudulent financial reports.

5. Discussion

The financial condition of the executive who depends on the company causes the executive to maintain the company's financial condition to be looking good. The theory used is not in line with the research results, this is due to the fact that few pharmaceutical companies apply for share ownership by insiders such as employees, managers, directors and commissioners. Some companies that apply for share ownership by company insiders only provide a percentage of less than 2 percent of the total outstanding shares for company insiders so that the personal financial condition of executives is not affected by the company's financial condition. The control function over financial statements that should be carried out by shareholder management cannot always be increased through the large number of share ownership by insiders (Suparmini et al., 2020). Management of non-shareholders will certainly have different profit projections because their financial conditions are not influenced by the company's financial state, insider ownership of shares cannot always reduce fraudulent financial reports.

The findings of the present study also bear resemblance with the findings of a study carried out by Kusumaningrum & Mutanto (2016), Suparmini et al. (2020) which contend that the characteristics of the industry pose effects on fraudulent financial statements. Sihombing & Rahardjo (2014) contend that due to subjective judgments in measuring the value of certain accounts, management reserves the power to use the account as a way to exploit financial reports and, to reduce this, tight control or supervision from the internal company is needed. Weak controls will provide a great opportunity for fraud to occur (Donelson et al., 2017). According to Nizar (2017) and Nariman (2015), the Big 4 public accounting firm maintains the true reputation by providing quality audits to increase public trust. Public trust can be increased through the principles contained in the implementation of corporate governance, namely through increased transparency, accountability, responsibility, independence and corporate equality. The results of research that do not support this theory most likely occur because there are factors that influence audit quality more than the public accounting firm size factor. A public accounting firm with a large size but does not have a highly competent auditor is not able to detect fraud properly, as well as auditors who lack independence, the auditor will not be able to provide good audit results. (Suparmini et al., 2020). Pham et al. (2017) found that auditing quality of small public accounting firms tends to be better than large public accounting firms in Vietnam.

The findings of the present study also bear resemblance with the findings of a study carried out by Kusumaningrum & Mutanto (2016); Suparmini et al. (2020) which contend that the characteristics of the industry poses effects on fraudulent financial statements. Sihombing & Rahardjo (2014) contend that due to subjective judgments in measuring the value of certain accounts, management reserves the power use the account as a way to exploit financial reports and, to reduce this, tight control or supervision from the internal company is needed. Weak controls will provide a great opportunity for fraud to occur (Donelson et al., 2017). According to Nizar (2017) and Nariman (2015), the Big 4 public accounting firm maintains the true reputation by providing quality audits to increase public trust. Public trust can be increased through the principles contained in the implementation of corporate governance, namely through increased transparency, accountability, responsibility, independence and, corporate equality. The results of research that do not support this theory most likely occur because there are factors that influence audit quality more than the public accounting firm size factor. A public accounting firm with a large size but does not have a highly competent auditor is not able to detect fraud properly, as well as auditors who lack independence, the auditor will not be able to provide good audit results. (Suparmini et al., 2020). Pham et al. (2017) found that auditing quality of small public accounting firms tends to be better than large public accounting firms in Vietnam.

The findings of the present study show inconsistency with the study carried out by Weiner (2012). Weiner stated that the auditor firm size indicates credibility. Thus, it is followed by a disclosure of corporate fraud. This research also disagrees with the study carried out by Fimanaya and Syafrudin (2014) and Huang et al., (2017). Fimanaya and Syafrudin contend that institutions whose audit was performed by any of the Big 4 firms tend not to commit fraud compared to those whose audit was performed by any non-Big 4 firms. Wolfe and Hermanson (2004) found that changing top management positions such as directors may indicate fraud. Making changes in the makeup of the directors is seen as a company’s effort to remove directors who are viewed as being aware of fraudulent practices in the company. It is considered that the changing of directors will require some time for adaptation so that the initial performance is not optimal. Besides, the change of directors was often successful because the new directors could use their position to drive the company further and avoid fraud. When going to change the board of directors, the company should select the best possible candidates by judging from the performance in the previous position and their objectives to advance the company (Suparmini et al., 2020). The findings of the present study are
consistent with the study carried out by Manurung and Hardika (2015) and Mardiani et al. (2017) which contend that the use of the change-of-directors variable to determine the potential for financial statement fraud proves that it has a significant positive effect on the potential for financial statement fraud. The results of this study, however, are not in line with the results of research done by Annisya et al., (2016); Harman & Bernawati (2020); Suparmini et al. (2020); Zaki (2017) which argue that changes in the makeup of the directors bear no effects on the detection of potential fraudulent financial statements.

The number of photos of the CEO displayed in the yearly report may indicate narcissism, and the CEO may want to show their superiority (Buchholz et al., 2015, 2019; Yusof, 2015). Another purpose of having a CEO photo displayed in the yearly report is to introduce the company's leader. The other photos displayed are mostly photos of the company's activities. Besides, there are still some companies that put only one photo of their CEO in their annual report. Therefore, the number of CEO photos cannot indicate an arrogant attitude and the general nature of the CEO of a company (Agusputri & Sofie, 2019). These findings are consistent with the study by Bawakes et al. (2018); Husmawati et al. (2017); Sasongo & Wijayantika (2019); Siddiq et al. (2017) which contend that the frequent number of CEO's images or photos bears no effects on financial reports which are fraudulent.

The findings of the present study are inconsistent with the study by Weiner (2012) which contends that the size of the auditing companies indicates credibility and is followed by disclosure of corporate fraud. Moreover, this research also disagrees with the study carried out by Fimanaya & Syafruddin (2014); Huang et al., (2017) which argue that institutions whose audit was performed by any of the Big 4 firms tend not to commit fraud compared to those whose audit was performed by any non-Big 4 firms.

6. Conclusion

Given the results of the analysis, it can be summarized that personal financial needs, the quality of the independent auditors and the display of the CEO's photo have no effects on the occurrence of fraudulent financial statements. The present study also proves that the characteristics of the industry positively affect the occurrence of financial reports which are fraudulent. This shows that the higher the nature of the industry or the ideal condition of a company in the industry, the more likely it is that financial statement fraud will occur. Meanwhile, the change in the board of directors also positively affects the phenomenon of fraudulent financial statements. This shows that the change of directors at the pharmaceutical companies in the sample indicated that there was fraud in the financial statements. This study offers implications for all shareholders, government, and other parties who need information as a consideration when assessing the opportunity for indications of financial reports which are fraudulent in the company by considering the factors that influence it. The results of this study can also have implications for the company and the management in making disclosures in the company's financial statements. By making disclosures, especially regarding the nature of the industry or ideal conditions and the change in the board of directors in a company, shareholders and other stakeholders, including regulators, can assess the extent to which risks can be managed in the company so as not to cause fraud that is detrimental to many parties. Further research is suggested to use the scope of other sectors, such as the mining sectors, the financial sectors, the banking sectors, and others. For further researchers, other proxies can be used from research variables which are the factors that influence the indications of financial statement fraud in a company.
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